



MINUTES OF THE CITY OF MIRAMAR FISCAL YEAR 2022 FIRE PROTECTION ASSESSMENT & BUDGET PUBLIC HEARING

SEPTEMBER 13, 2021

6:00 P.M.

The Fiscal Year 2022 Fire Protection Assessment & Budget Public Hearing was called to order by Mayor Messam on Monday, September 13, 2021, at 6:13 p.m. in the Commission Chambers, Miramar City Hall, 2300 Civic Center Place, Miramar, Florida

Upon call of the roll, the following members of the City Commission were present:

Mayor Wayne M. Messam
Vice Mayor Yvette Colbourne
Commissioner Winston F. Barnes (Remote)
Commissioner Maxwell B. Chambers
Commissioner Alexandra P. Davis

The following members of staff were present:

City Manager Vernon Hargray
City Attorney Norman Powell (Remote)
City Clerk Denise A. Gibbs

MAYOR MESSAM: Good evening. The Fiscal Year 2022 Fire Protection Assessment and Budget Public Hearing of the City of -- City of Miramar is now called to order. This is the first hearing on the Millage and budget. Commissioner Barnes and City Attorney Powell will be appearing remotely for this meeting. An opportunity was given to the public to email the City Clerk prior to the Public Hearing with any questions, comments, concerns on items we will hear on this meeting's agenda. Any person may be heard by the Commission through the chair, and upon registering pursuant to the published notice for not more than three minutes on any proposition before the hearing, unless modified by the chair. This Public Hearing is being streamed live at miramarfl.gov/commissionmeeting, and televised on Comcast channel 78, and AT&T U-verse channel 99 for City of Miramar subscribers. As of September 13th at 4:30 p.m., no members from the public have registered to participate in the meeting in listening mode - in listen mode only. If one of the registered members would like to speak, they can raise their hand, and at the appropriate time our IT staff will unmute their mic to allow them to

speak. Please be sure to mention your name and address for the record prior to addressing the Commission. At this time, Madam Clerk, please call the roll.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Colbourne.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: City Manager Hargray.

CITY MANAGER HARGRAY: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: City Attorney Powell. City Attorney Powell?

CITY ATTORNEY POWELL: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Thank you.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MAYOR MESSAM: Let us all rise for the pledge of allegiance. (Pledge recited)

A MOMENT OF SILENCE

MAYOR MESSAM: At this time, let us observe a moment of silence for any condolences we're experiencing in the community. Thank you.

PRESENTATIONS

NONE

PUBLIC HEARING

MAYOR MESSAM: Agenda Item number one, please.

1. **FIRST READING** of Temp. Ord. #O1779 establishing and adopting the City of Miramar **Ad Valorem Tax Operating Millage Levy Rate** at 7.1172 Mills, or \$7.1172 per thousand dollars of taxable assessed property value for the **2021 Tax Year**, which is 4.45% greater than the rolled-back rate of 6.8137 Mills; providing for conflicts; and providing for an effective date. (*Management & Budget Director Rafael Sanmiguel*)

CITY ATTORNEY POWELL: -- 72 mils, or \$7.1172 per thousand dollars of taxable assessed property value for the 2021 Tax Year, which is 4.45% greater than the rolled-back rate of 6.8137 mils; providing for conflicts; and providing for an effective date. Chapter 200.065 (2)(e) of (1), Florida Statutes, requires that the governing body of the City shall adopt its proposed millage rate prior to adopting its tentative budget. The establishment of the millage rate is necessary to generate an ad valorem tax revenues, which is, in part -- are necessary to fund the General Fund budget. The City has proposed a millage rate of 7.1172 mils. This rate constitutes a 4.5 -* 45-percent increase, compared with the rollback rate of 6.8137 mils. The rollback rate is the rate that would be needed to bring in the same ad valorem tax revenue as last year. In addition, section 200.065 (2)(d), Florida Statutes, requires that prior to the adoption of the millage rate the Mayor make the following public announcement.

MAYOR MESSAM: The City has proposed a millage rate of 7.1172 mils. This would constitute a millage rate that is 4.45 percent above the rollback rate of 6.8137 mils, and is necessary to fund the budget. The City Manager will now explain the specific purposes for which ad valorem tax revenues are being used, and we will then hear a presentation from staff regarding the proposed millage rate. Note: There will be one presentation for staff for items number one, two, and three on the agenda. Mr. City Manager.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Mr. Mayor, before Mr. City Manager goes on, do we have -
- did -- I couldn't hear the attorney properly. Did he -- did he read three items or --

MAYOR MESSAM: No, he just -- he just -- he read -- the read the required statute comments in terms of the ordinance of the City of Miramar. It's just that his volume didn't come in, but he read -- basically, he just stated what the millage rate was, and that it -- it's 4.5 percent.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: So he didn't read the items?

MAYOR MESSAM: He did read -- he read item number one. We will read --

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Only one? Okay.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Mr. Manager.

CITY MANAGER HARGRAY: Mayor, Vice Mayor, Commissioners, it's a proud day for me and the staff in here to be able to put together a budget that reflects the negotiations that took place during this 12-month period. At this time, I will have Rafael, Budget and -- and -- and Management Director, to give a presentation on how we end up balancing the budget during a time of challenges. Rafael.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Thank you, City Manager. Good evening, Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Commissioners. Rafael Sanmiguel with the Management & Budget Department. I'm here to present the first reading of the millage and budget ordinances, as well as the fire fee resolution item. The budget philosophy for the next fiscal year is to bring a fiscally responsible budget that maintains the high level of existing service that each resident has come to expect. It maintains the quality of life for residents and businesses throughout the various offerings that the City has to offer. And, at the same time, it tries to maintain a healthy level of fund balance, amid a very challenging period that we're going through. And we have accomplished the creation of this budget without a millage rate increase, and without a fire assessment fee increase for the residents and business community. So the total fiscal year '22 budget is \$348 million for all funds. The General Fund stands at 53 percent or \$183 million of that. Enterprise funds, which include the utility and storm water funds stand at 23 percent or \$75.7 million. Internal service funds, which include the health, risk, fleet, and IT stand at 13 percent or \$46.4 million. Debt service funds stand at three percent or \$11 million. Capital Project funds six percent or \$22.3 million. Special revenue funds, which include the federal, State and County grants funds, it stands at two percent or \$8.4 million. And the sub funds stand at less than one percent. So in terms of the sources of revenue for the General Fund, ad valorem property taxes in the lower right make up for the largest and steadiest source of income for the General Fund at 44 percent. Permit fees and special assessments at 21 percent. Other taxes, which include utility taxes, insurance premium taxes, communication service taxes stand at 11 percent. Charges for services, which include ticket sales, rentals and park fees stand at ten percent. Intergovernmental revenues, such as local governments half-cent sales tax stand at eight percent. And the remaining six percent is mainly transfers from grants funds, and interest earnings, and rebates. So in terms of expenditures for the General Fund, 70 percent or the largest share is salaries and benefits for the City of Miramar. That's followed by operational services -- operational expenses, rather, at 21 percent, so those are the two variable expense categories for the General Fund. The remaining nine percent is mainly com -- comprised of transfers to CIP and debt funds, and departmental capital outlay, such as vehicle replacement program, and the OPEB, and pension trust transfers. So breaking down the General Fund salaries and benefits further, employee

pay is 60 percent, and pension is 20 percent. So, together, that's 80 percent for current employee pay, and retiree pay. The remaining 20 percent is made up of health at seven percent, which is holding steady due to the -- going self-funded, and the use of the Wellness Center. Payroll tax at four percent. Worker's Comp at four percent. And other benefits at five percent. So if we break down the General Fund category -- fund by category, the lion's share, which is public safety, stands at more than 53 percent; that's police and fire in blue to your right. The remaining 47 percent is made up by community and culture, which includes Cultural Arts, Parks & Recreation, Community Services stand at 19 percent. Administration, which includes HR, Finance, Procurement, City Clerk's Office, City Manager's Office, Management & Budget, and City Commission, and Legal stands at 12 percent. Public Works at six percent. And others, which include non-departmental is at five percent, and debt service at five percent. So this chart shows revenues on the left and expenses on the right. At the top of the left column, the ad valorem and fire fee revenues, which amount to \$102 million or 56 percent of General Fund revenues; that, in turn, pays for the public safety, that's police and fire, on the top right column. So that shows that we have a structurally balanced and sound budget where the ad valorem tax and fire fee revenues pay for public safety expenses. The bottom of the left column then shows all the other revenues, such as other taxes, charges for services, permit fees and special assessments, intergovernmental revenues, and other, which amount to \$81 million. And those revenues, in turn, pay for the other governmental services in the bottom right of the expense column, such as community and culture, administration, public works, and debt service. So we have been trying to get a structurally balanced budget like this for the last couple of years, and we have achieved that, and we'll achieve it well into '22. So, again, we're not proposing to raise either the millage or fire fee for fiscal year '22. The proposed millage rate for '22 is 7.1172, which is 4.5 percent greater than the rollback rate of 6.8137. The proposed residential fire fee is unchanged at \$398.23. So talking about the average homestead, single-family home. The taxable value has gone up by \$4,826.00, and that increase is wholly due to the property valuation increase, which are capped for homestead properties to around two percent for the Save Our Homes provision. This means that the average homestead property will see a modest tax increase of \$34.34. So, of course, this varies based on the individual valuation, where properties that have a higher valuation would correspond to a higher tax, and properties with a lower valuation correspond to a lower tax. And that amounts to around \$2.86 per month increase for the average homestead, single-family home. Likewise, on the right, we have the average commercial. The -- the taxable value for the average commercial has increased by \$29,300.00, and that corresponds to an increase in City tax of \$209.00. In the same vein, the average size of the commercial property has increased by 143 square feet, and that corresponds to a \$107.00 increase in fire assessment fee, so those two together is \$316.00 per year, or less than \$1.00 per day increase in taxes and fees for next year. So, again, we're not proposing an increase to the fire fee for '22. It is proposed at the same rate of \$398.23. The Fire Protection Assessment Study was updated in 2018; provisional fire protection services is fully funded through the fire protection assessment through the principle of cost recovery, and please keep in mind that this principle of cost recovery is based on fire suppression and excludes fire rescue and EMS service calls. So residential hardship exemption is available to

residents who meet the requirement, and the application -- you would hand it in July of -- July 31st. Seniors over the age of 65 who receive the additional senior homestead exemption receive the fire fee exemption, and household who own and reside in the property, and whose combined household income does not exceed a preset maximum adjusted gross income qualify and can apply for this exemption. And the details of -- of this are on our website, as well as the application, and a list of documents that need to be submitted. And 100 properties have qualified for this exemption in fiscal year -- and calendar -- tax year, sorry, 2021. So the City of Miramar represents only 35 percent of the total tax bill for the residents. The remaining 65 percent is comprised of the School Board at 32 percent, County at 28 percent, and others, which include the hospital district, South Florida Water Management District, and Florida Inland Navigation District. So each of these districts, they have their own separate board meetings, where they set their individual millage rates. And I do encourage residents to contact these entities, and to attend those meetings regarding their portion of the tax bill. So I'm want to shift gears a little bit, and talk about the summertime changes. Starting with the General Fund revenues. We have received a revised state revenue projections, as far as state share revenue proceeds that's increasing by \$426,000.00. Also increased revised local government half-cent sales tax for \$273,657.00. Revised downward is the local grant Broward County shuttle bus, decreased by \$310,900.00, and we're increasing the Cultural Arts Center reception package revenue by \$200,000.00. So the revenues for the General Fund, we're increasing those by \$589,663.00, and these are revenues that we're increasing, as compared to the proposed budget that was received by the Commission before the summer started. I should have mentioned that, sorry. Going to the City Manager's Office; we have moved Chief Operations Officer to Community Development, and we've also moved the Commission hosted events from Parks to the CM's office, and those are the ten Commission-hosted events, including the Citizen Drive, Haitian Flag Day, Halloween, Jamaican Independence Day, Easter Extravaganza, Caribbean American Heritage, Hispanic Heritage, Miramar NACAC New Life Invitational, Black History Celebration, and Miramar Family Night. So we have moved that funding for these events to the City Manager's Office. The total increase for these -- the netting of -- of these actions is \$318,900.00 for that department. Moving on to police. We've reduced the actuarial police pension projection that we get from the actuaries, as far as the A.R.C. that we have to contribute each year. And we've also added funding for the ShotSpotter. So during the summer, there was action by Commission to procure the ShotSpotter, and that was action for fiscal year 2021. This is funding for the fiscal year 2022, so there's no change to that program. In Community Development, we are receiving the transfer in of the chief -- with the CEO position, the chief operation officer position for the CMO Office. We are reclassing a part-time clerk to full time, and we are adding a temp, full-time Housing and Community Development Administrator, for a net increases of \$432,164.00 to that department. In Parks & Recreation, we have quite a few changes, but the ended out to only \$52,500.00 increase to that department. So we have added funding for the Miramar Invitational Athlete Price Awards. We have added six part-time as-needed position for athletic events. We have reinstated 13 part-time positions that were frozen or deleted due to COVID, and that includes aquatic attendance, lifeguards, and rec aides. We've added a site supervisor and four interns at Ansin Sports Complex. We have

reclassified a position to communications and logistics superintendent. We have added funding for a Gator and a van for the athletic program, and a Gator is one of those utility type golf carts that the parks will use for their use. We have added funding for a parks and recreation master plan, that's for consulting services. And we have reinstated landscaping contracts in parks. So the landscaping used to happen in -- in Public Works in house, and we've moved that into a contractual into parks. And we have moved, again, the Commission-hosted events funding to the City Manager's Office, so the -- the net is \$52,500.00. Moving on to Community Services. We have funded two Social Worker I positions. We have funded -- and we have moved the funding for a family services superintendent and community outreach position from CDBG fund, and we expect the funding for these two positions to go back to CDBG in 2023. And we have also increased funding for preschool activities for a net total increase for that department of \$324,600.00. Cultural Affairs with an increase funding for theater productions, and netting from that department is \$213,000.00. Other changes that include non-departmental, and throughout all departments, include the reduced transfers out to the debt service due to debt re-funding. We've added funds to emergency preparedness, per expense trends, so we have -- originally, we budgeted thinking that COVID was going to be very much attenuated by this point, and with all the Delta Variants, and all of the -- we're still wearing masks here in the -- in the -- in the City and the country, so we've added some funding to -- to take care of the emergency response when it comes to that. We have reduced healthcare projections throughout the departments, per the actuarial reports that we've gotten from the actuarial consultant. And we have funded non-represented merit pay, and we have changed various internal service charges throughout the -- all departments. So the netting for that throughout all departments in the General Funds is \$589,663.00, which matches the revenue. Moving on to the debt service funds, we have decreased the debt service expense due to fund -- re-funding savings by \$1.6 million. We have in the capital projects funds, we have added the 2022 CIP expenses per the CIP schedule that was received by Commission, and that's an increase of \$15,304 -- 304,350.00. And the utilities fines -- in the utilities fund, we have also added the 2022 CIP expenses, per the CIP schedule, and other miscellaneous such as chemicals, and that's \$14,240,584.00. In storm water, likewise, we have added the 2022 CIP expenses per the CIP at \$700,000.00. And, lastly, in IT, we have added funding for four interns, we have transferred in one FTE, and reclassified that to a junior analyst, and we have added CIP operational impact, for a total of \$270,300.00 for that department or that fund. So now I'd like to shift gears, and now introduce CFO Gooding-Liburd fund balance, the fund balance components.

MS. GOODING-LIBURD: Thank you, Rafael. Good evening, Commission, Susan Good-Liburd, your chief financial officer. So as we have a discussion about the City's fund balance, we must talk about the sound financial decisions that has been made that had long-term implication that led the City to a stable economy. For example, maintaining our debt payment, that have result into higher credit rating, which allow us to obtain lower interest rates. Establishing fund balance policy that just not strengthen current year, but also current -- the future years, and we will continue to provide recovery and relief for the businesses -- business community, as well as maintain the welfare of our resident into

our eMap program or MASH, and some of the rental and mortgage and utility assistance from the Community Development Department. So this is our established fund balance policies. In 2010, we established the Emergency Preparedness Fund at four percent. And we did the stabli -- the Financial Stabilization Policy in 2014, and maintained a 12 percent of the prior year final amended expenditure budget. In 2019, we also established two policies: the Other Post Employment Trust Fund at \$500,000.00 annually, and the Pension Trust Fund at one percent of the prior year final amended expenditure. So this is how the fund balance ended reflecting a year ago, 2021. We will be ending at September 30 -- 30th, and we'll have our final, audited financial statements. So in 2020 we ended our fund balance at 12 percent, as our policy; we are continuing to target that to end it at -- in FY '21 at that 12 percent. Emergency Preparedness ended at 2.4 percent, and we are targeting our four percent, getting it back to our policy, our established policy. OPEB will continue to do the \$500,000.00 annually, as well as the Pension Trust at one percent. In 2020, you notice that we -- we had to utilize our unassigned due to the pandemic that we were under, and we do not have a determined amount yet, as to where that target will fall for FY 2020. So to keep in mind, there are these other issues that we must continue to reduce our discretionary spending, and we must continue to maintain our baseline budget from year to year, as well as adequately planning for the City's infrastructure, and reposition -- focusing our employees, as well as our programming, as we see fit. With that, I thank you, and I will turn it over to Mr. Norm Mason.

MR. MASON: Thank you. Norm Mason, Management & Budget. So good evening. Let's take a moment to assess where we are today. We're presenting for your approval a budget that addresses and funds the City policy, objectives, and requirements, as stated in our policy. The reason this is accomplished is due to adherence to the solutions of the Five-Year Financial Plan that you've seen quite a few times. First, a voluntary retirement plan accomplished and set up to address the growth trajectory of salary and benefits that you remember was coming close to 80 percent. Second, complete ratification of the collective bargaining agreements, and those were done within the guidelines and objectives that you saw in the Five-Year Financial Plan within that financial framework of how those growths in salaries and benefits should go, and that was accomplished. Third, the opening of the Miramar Wellness Center; the resulting success in addressing healthcare cost increases through that Wellness Center will continue to be realized. Fourth, the healthcare self-funding. That was an initiative to also address growing healthcare costs that all entities face. Fifth, the successful submission of the American Rescue Plan Act application for COVID-19-related fiscal recovery funds to address lost revenues, so that took care of the revenue side. Lastly, the successful completion of the re-funding, as Susan said, of the special obligation revenue bonds to effectively realize debt service savings. So something different than operating expenses, but debt service savings. That will be realized annually over the next 18 years. So, in conclusion of that, please make no mistake about it. We had challenges prior to COVID, and we have issues to address during COVID today, so it's still ongoing. As I told all of you, the only time I know COVID will be over is when I don't see anyone wearing a mask, so that's when I'll tell you can start budgeting revenues and expenses accordingly with no COVID. So we will have challenges and issues after COVID as well. However, the reason we're in a

physically and fiscally responsible position today is due to the successful -- successful address, and representing, and implementing the five-year solutions that we presented to you for many years. The reason that happened is under the direction of the City Manager, Vernon E. Hargray; that's the reason those solutions have been implemented. Okay. So tonight, we're seeking your approval of the major driving forces of the revenue; first the 7.1172 operating millage rate, seeking approval of that with no change the last six or seven years, and also approval of the 100 percent fire protection assessment rate for fire suppression only, not fire rescue, and then approval of the FY '22 budget and capital improvement program. The reason that's all together is that's the name of the item, and we will be presenting the CIP on a future meeting of this month, but that's just the details of the budget and CIP together -- that item. Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you for the presentation. As a reminder, this is agenda item number one, which is the public hearing to set the ad valorem tax millage rate for Temp. Resolution -- this is Temp. Resolution -- Ordinance No. 1779. At this time, I will call upon members of the public to speak or to ask questions. Pursuant to Florida Law, public comment is being heard prior to the adoption of any measures by the -- the governing board. I'll just check in with IT to see if any individuals have raised their hands on -- just from the public to speak on this item. Hearing and seeing none, now on to the public hearing. The Commission will now discuss the proposed millage rate. I'll just go down the line and provide an opportunity for all Commissioners and the Vice Mayor to ask any questions or provide any comments, starting with Vice Mayor Colbourne.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Thank you. First off, once again, I want to say thank you to Rafael, his staff, and -- and all of the City staff that worked on this budget. You know, all the departments that, maybe, had to cut back, and, you know, redo their budget several times to come to this point. But I want to say thank you so much; I truly appreciate the fact that -- that we are where we are. That we have a budget before us with a millage rate that is not being increased. We have been able to keep our millage rate, so I want to say thank you. Throughout this budget season, City Manager has been very gracious, and so has been his staff in explaining to us everything -- every department did a presentation. I've been truly grateful of that. The fact that we have -- we don't have fire assessment increase as well, I think that -- that is just very good, it's a very good thing. I am truly pleased. I have really one -- one ask at this point, and it really has to do with the operating budget and capital improvement budget portion of the presentation. When I received the item, the item included a change memorandum, so where -- before the operating budget was published, we had meetings with all of the departments, and all of the staff, explaining what would be in the budget. We received the budget, budget book -- where it is, pretty big book. A lot of work went into it, so I took the time to go through it, to read and understand, and -- and ask questions. So I am really comfortable with the budget. But the change memo that's attached to item three, first of all, it's not signed by the Manager. It was -- it was never introduced to the Commission, it wasn't mailed to us, although the memo is directed to us. The memo has a number of changes, and I know that the budget director just went through those changes, but the details of those memos were not explained, the details of those changes were not explained. So, at the

appropriate time, I would certainly the budget that was published to be approved. I would love to see it moved, I would love to see it approved, but the changes that's attached to it, I would like to see those changes deferred to the second reading, which would give me an opportunity, and my colleagues, any of you who want to understand those changes, it would also give you an opportunity to go through it with staff, and understand the impact of those changes, and how it will impact the budget that was already published, and we had already -- we already went through. So I have a question for the budget director.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Yes, ma'am.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: This is the first reading tonight, is that correct?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Yes, for item three, it's going to be the first reading, correct.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: So anything that we approve tonight, we cannot take action on it until it has a second reading?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Correct, yeah. So it became -- it becomes, I guess, the adopted budget on the second reading, basically.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: And the second reading is scheduled for?

MR. SANMIGUEL: The 22nd -- 22nd of this month.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: The 22nd?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Correct.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Okay. So is there a problem with us approving the published budget without the changes?

MR. SANMIGUEL: No, we have done that in the past, Vice Mayor, where we approve the -- we adopt the proposed budget on the first reading, and then we approve any and all changes on the second reading, and I don't -- the budgetarily, there's no problem with that.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Okay.

MR. SANMIGUEL: I don't see a problem with it.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: So then that would -- that's -- that's my ask, if we can approve the budget, but not approve the changes at this point, defer the changes until the second reading. Thank you.

MR. SANMIGUEL: You're welcome.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Davis, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Thank you. Again, I'd like to thank staff also for the work that has been put in to present this budget to us today with no increase in the millage, and no fire service increase. I know it was explained that our residents will see an increase in their taxes, simply because of, mainly, their property, which has risen, but it's nothing to do with the City itself raising the millage. Just a couple of questions, I guess. One is to do with the -- in the City Manager's Office, under the -- under the events, I do see where we have the Black History Celebration, but I'd like for that to really reflect the Afro Carib festival. This is a festival that was started two years ago, and hoping that will be a signature event, much like they have in Miami Gardens, where we can expand upon it, and actually give it a name and focus on ensuring that this becomes a signature event, rather than just say Black History Celebration. I -- I would like for that to be there. It's in February, which is Black History Month, but I would like to see that focus. We've already got support from the Greater Fort Lauderdale CVB, and they have supported this event. We have big plans for it, in terms of where we want it to go. I just want to ensure that it's labeled as Afro Carib Festival, if that's okay with the -- the dais and my colleagues.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner, can you repeat that? It's in addition to the list or you're saying what?

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No, no. Just -- Black History Celebration, which we've done over the last year or two, has been Afro Carib, and so I'd like for that to be under that label, rather than just saying a blanket Black History Celebration; it's an event, and it has a name, which -- just saying Black History Celebration is not specific. And I'd like to see where we're actually going with these events. Many of them don't bring in any money. They're, basically, free and open to the public, they may change from year to year, and we have really nothing to define the City on -- in terms of events, and this is something that I spoke with the CEO of the Greater Fort Lauderdale of CVB, and they were in agreement that they -- they don't mind funding events that target, for example, your diversity, which they believe Miramar should target. That's what they're willing to fund. And so I would love to expound upon this, rather than simply having a lot of these events, which do not bring in any revenue, they don't put heads in beds, necessarily, and maybe they do, but we're not getting anything back from the County with regards to our heads in beds. So that's something that I'd like to see us try to focus on honing on. In addition to that, I just -- I know we moved the Chief Operations Officer to Community Development. What's the mo -- what's the reason behind that? What exactly does the Chief Operations -- Operations do that they --

MR. SANMIGUEL: So I can give you a little bit of background, historically, and this is more than -- that 15 years ago. The -- that position was actually housed in Community Development, and that position incumbent is retiring, I would say, next -- second quarter of the fiscal year, so it's just giving that position back to the original department that had it before. As far as what the -- the -- the -- the purpose of the -- the description of the

COO, I'm sure that in the -- in the new -- in -- in the new department, it'll be -- it'll be, you know, redefined to -- to meet the needs for that department.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Thank you. Moving on. I -- I saw where in the Parks Department they have four interns at Ansin, and I wanted to clarify the types of interns that we have. So the Youth Apprenticeship Program that was started in the City was to enable at-risk youth within the ages of 18 to, I believe, 26 now to be able to get gainful employment, learn a trade or a skill, Miramar residents themselves. These interns, is that what this is?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Yes. That's the purpose of -- of these four interns, and whenever you see an intern in the -- anywhere in the change memo or in the budget, that is exactly what the purpose of it is. It's going to make an apprenticeship program, and just getting, you know, young blood into the City, for lack of a better --

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Because I know other managers mention there are other interns, so there's two different types, so I just want to be clear. This particular one in Parks is for that.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Correct. Yeah. It's for the -- for that kind of -- the -- the program that you referred, that apprenticeship program, that's -- that's what this is for, that the -- the program that you always champion, that's what -- what this is -- aims to achieve.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: There's another intern, I think it's another four interns in IT? Is that the same, or that's a different intern?

MR. SANMIGUEL: It's the same, yes. I do believe that -- that it's the same.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Are you certain? Again, because I've been told there's different types of internships, and from what I understand, there's some that are for people that maybe graduate and want to learn more, and they are given an internship. And there are those that have not gone to college and are in need of employment, and -- and -- and experience. So I just want to be clear about the eight -- is it eight interns you have?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Correct. Yeah. So there's four in -- in parks, and there's four in IT, for a total of eight interns, and, again, it is for like that apprenticeship program. And maybe -- I can -- get Randy to chime in on this one. I'm not really --

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Are there any more internships available, the reg -- the ones that were done through Public Works, and --

MR. SANMIGUEL: I can -- I can give you that data; I do believe so throughout the -- there's -- there's interest, for example, in fire. I believe there's -- if I'm not mistaken, there's some in Utilities, so -- but I can give you a -- like a roster that gives you like the

detail of the interns per department, and what exactly it is -- what the program is trying to accomplish.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah, If I can get that; I'd appreciate it.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Sure.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I -- I do know that we have now embarked upon asking our contractor, the largest one we have, to also consider hiring into this apprenticeship program, so -- yes, I could get an update on that. And then, lastly, I -- I want to ask this Commission, and certainly the City Manager, with regards to our Ansin Sports Complex. I know we have approved of a new area where it will just be for pedestrian walk -- walking, and I think that's a very good thing. Because I was just there recently, and it seemed like it was all jumbled up, between the traffic and the pedestrians, and it -- it was a bit chaotic when you have a lot of people, and that was the Orange Blossom Classic; you had big buses coming through, and people walking. So I think that's a great -- great idea, and that's already been passed, where we have a new traffic clow through to Ansin. So the area that is strictly for pedestrians, I want to see where we can, and, again, it's an ask, and to see where we have in our budget to do so, is to commission for a statue of the fastest man that's now retired, Usain Bolt to be erected there as a -- a center piece for that area where folks will walk, and I think it would be a good addition to the Ansin. Currently, at the stadium in Kingston, there is an area where it's just strictly pedestrian walkway. Folks go there, tourists go there, they take pictures, and there are various athletes that are there. We have this mondo track, we have great athletes that come through. I see part of the budget's talking about money for one of the events that we've had. We've had the fastest female run on our track, so that's Elaine Thompson-Herah. We've had other Olympians there. We have a young lady now who's doing very well, Brianna, and I -- I think it brings some credence, as we have that mondo track, and we have a lot of great athletes, and a lot of good athletic events. That this is something that I'm asking, and I'll -- I'll give you figure that the -- the City Manager could look to see how we could enhance the Ansin with that. I keep mentioning the Greater Fort Lauderdale Convention & Visitors Bureau, simply because there is opportunities there. They have a sports arm, and I think that we need to be at the table. I believe that you can only ask for support for this project from them, and, possibly, also other sponsors. Now we had Basil Watson here, and Basil Watson has been instrumental in doing sculptures, not just in Jamaica, but also he did the Martin Luther King sculpture in Atlanta, and I'd asked for an approximate cost for something like this. Basically, a public sculpture that would be approximately 8.5 feet tall on a four to six-foot pedestal. The approximate cost is between \$250,000.00 to \$300,000.00. The frame would be 12 to 18 months, and so this would go over to two budget cycles. And as I mentioned that they have statues of this sort, about five or so, in -- in Jamaica, which, as you know, they have to pay for it as well, and they have five of their athletes. They -- they have very good athletes, as you know, but also there is in the City of Moscow a -- a life size -- same type of statute of Usain Bolt in the center of Moscow. I'd like to see how we can, somehow -- if it's even a partial -- \$100,000.00 to be put aside to -- to see how we can get a match for that, or work towards

getting this sculpture done here in the City of Miramar. I think it would be a lost opportunity. We brag about Ansin, how we want to bring more tourist into this area. We now have an art in public places fund. But I think that at this time in our history that it would be a good opportunity, for this Commission, providing that the Manager can see where some seed money would come from to start this, and -- and then also have us try to go out and get sponsors, and try to work with Greater Fort Lauderdale CVB and others that may be interested in -- in -- in doing this in our -- in our city. So that's all that I have right now, and -- and then I'll reserve the right to come back a little later once this is being considered. Thanks.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Chambers, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mayor. I'd like to -- I'd like to thank City Manager and the budget staff, and all the employees that makes us -- the City so special. And, last but not least, I'd like to thank my colleague, this City Commission; we have been really involved in this community for a number of years since we have been working together here, and it really shows, and it really pays off. Like I said, this is -- one of the city that are very accessible to our residents and businesses, and it -- as far as the City Commission is concerned, and the management team. They're out working in the community, taking phone calls, taking emails, and whatever way of communication, our residents and businesses communicate with us -- sorry. But I -- I just want to say before I go further, I want to kind of picked up a little bit from Commissioner Davis as to Ansin. I, too, was there at the Orange Blossom, and notice this is a different crowd, it's more traffic. And, like I mentioned to the City Manager, I could see such a great need for the - the road in the back to alleviate the traffic crossing over with the pedestrian. It's not going to be perfect, but it's going to be make the use different. And one of the thing that I notice also that I always mention that Ansin Sports Complex is -- it's nice, we can do a lot of things, but it's also have certain limitation, and this event shows some of those limitations. So I hope we keep that in mind when we're moving forward. There's a lot of things we can do, but there's some things that we're not going to be able to do to compete with certain other sports event. With that said, I want to ask the City Manager to explore and look into maybe doing another small track and field at Vizcaya Park. I went there and take a look, and I think we could add, maybe, a six-lane sports track there to more accommodate our residents, since we are increasingly moving Ansin to a more professional use. We need to subsidize another feel at Vizcaya. We could take a look as to -- to see who much we can put there, how we can make it more accessible to residents, families, and folks that need to do track and field there. Just want to put that on the record. A couple of things, and I don't know -- let me goes into this. What I'm hoping is that -- and I want to mention this real quickly, but a lot of our employees who are struggling, there's no doubt we are having a difficult time, cost of living is our biggest killer; it's affect a lot of us, a lot of -- of our employees, and I hope we can look into the 13 and a half percent not being taken out from some of our employees' check. I know I spoke with Mr. HR Director earlier about it, and I think there's a meeting coming up, but we really need to take this seriously, as to whether we come up with some plans to -- some -- and -- and, trust me, it's not just -- I've seen our -- some of our police officers

struggle. Their salary looks good, but then by the time that 13 and a half percent is taken out, it's really affect them, so can you imagine some of our other employees, affect the -- get the complaint all the time, so we need to look at what do we do. Do we give them a big increase, do we have them make some adjustment in the savings portion to -- so they can live comfortable or, somewhat, not being stressed, because we do not want very stressful employees. So if it's -- it's something that we can -- need to look into moving forward to very soon. Also, my IT Department, it -- every department is important, I must say, but we don't want to keep losing some folks there, so we -- we got to make a decision as to how we're going to address the revolving door with some of those employees; we want to keep people if they're loyal, if they're goo. We got to look at how we can maintain that; that's just one department. There might be many more that we have. Also, our seniors, and I get information from calls sometime, and just the way we put in place the canal replace -- erosion replacement, tree trimming, we need to look at doing something for those seniors who are trying to maintain their home, especially the frontage of their home, their driveway, their grass, the sod, or water. We could put a program in place to address that issue, to make sure Miramar stay beautiful, but especially where our seniors is concerned, and -- and maybe we could look at -- even if we pilot the program first for our seniors, and then maybe some other folks. I think it's very important. You don't want to see these folks trying to keep their property nice, and having a hard time because of their fixed income or their low income. I know we do have a -- a painting program in place, and whichever way it can work, whether we have the money, and we have some volunteer work, but we need to look at a program that can assist these -- these seniors, and to make sure Miramar stays fresh and -- and young, in terms their front property. I - - and -- and I hope that we can also maintain the program that assist the EMAP, and the Mirror program to make sure we can continue to support these families. I know we're going to struggle for a little while, but we'll get through this pandemic, because the -- you know, Mr. Mason mention. Also, I -- I notice that -- I didn't see the Black History Month - - not Black History Month, MLK, and the portion of all the other city event; I'm not sure what's happen there, but I would like to have that reflect there. I know citizen drive does not take a lot of funding, so that could easy well come from one of our initiative. Must take very little funds to run a citizens drive; most of that is volunteer and, maybe -- and sign posted, so we're hoping that we can bring the MLK back and, maybe, the egg -- Easter egg can be moved to a -- another Parks project.

MS. VALERA: -- Parks director. Good evening. Some of the special events were transferred to the City Manager's Office, but there's other events that remained with the Parks Department. With regard to the Black History event, and the Carib Fest, those are two different events, so Black History is February. The Carib Fest stayed as Carib Fest or Caribbean Heritage is what we originally called it, so it's two separate events.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: I'm sorry, my only concern was MLK.

MS. VALERA: It remained, and it remained under the Parks Department. It's not one of the ones that was transferred to the City Manager's Office.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Just to clarify, the Afro Carib event, you're not talking about that, correct? Because that's in --

MS. VALERA: That one stayed --

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: That --

MS. VALERA: -- with Parks & Rec.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: That's in February.

MS. VALERA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Afro Carib is in February.

MS. VALERA: Yes, it's in February, but it stayed with Parks & Rec. Black History Month is separate from that event.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: What is that?

MS. VALERA: Black History -- originally, we would hold an event just for Black History. This -- this last year we held several events for Black History Month, but that was separate from Afro Carib Fest.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: So why don't we have a list of the events under you guys? I mean we saw one list; where's the other list?

MS. VALERA: That was a budget decision to separate between the two departments, so I -- and --

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. We need to know what -- what all is the events, right.

MS. VALERA: We can give you a full, comprehensive list of all the vents, regardless of where they're funded from, so that you can have that complete list.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah, because I mean I remember -- sorry to cut you, but Black History is something that was brought in when I was commissioner way back when, so I know exactly when that starting, what it was about. There needs to be clarification, so we know what -- you know, we don't -- we need to be -- because I mentioned that -- that we should switch it; you never came up at that point, so coming up now, I have a whole different -- you see what I'm saying? So --

MS. VALERA: Yes. Now I understand.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Let's make sure we're all clear, all right.

MS. VALERA: I understand.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Thanks.

MS. VALERA: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: I do have a question in regards to the -- what we call the un -- unrecurring revenue, unrecurring revenue. I'm not sure if I'm -- I know we slated for some funds -- we should be receiving some funds for 2021, year '21, not -- and also 2022 going into 2023, and those are funds that are reoccurring -- unreoccurring, so how we plan on addressing those funds to makes sure we utilize those, and make sure we manage it correctly?

MR. SANMIGUEL: And so when you're talking about recurring revenues, which specifically -- like ad valorem recurring revenues?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: No, unreoccurring, like onetime funds that we will be getting from the federal government; non-reoccurring, I should say.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Got you. So -- can we go to slide number -- backup slide -- you have slide for that. So in terms of the ARPA funds, we are slated to receive around \$22 million in ARPA funding -- that's slide 31; you can pull it. Yeah, that's it. So is this what you're talking about, Commissioner? So the nonrecurring revenues, federal grants -- and, basically, this -- is that.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Correct.

MR. SANMIGUEL: The American Rescue Plan Act funds -- the City of Miramar is slated to receive around \$22 million. We are able to recognize loss of revenue to supplement the -- to supplement or to -- let me -- so we're able to recognize revenue to pay for allowable governmental services, to kind of reimburse ourselves back for -- for the day-to-day governmental services that we provide, right, each day. So in fiscal -- in calendar year 2021, we are able to recognize \$11.3 million in revenue loss, okay, and we're able to kind of reimburse ourselves back to pay for allowable expenses, allowable governmental services that we provide to the community, right. In calendar year '22, pretty much the same thing, but instead of 11.3, it's around \$5 million that we're projecting to be able to recognize as revenue loss, right. And in the same vein, even less revenue can be recognized, probably, in fiscal year -- in calendar year, rather, 2023, so that's around \$18 million total revenue loss that we're able to recognize that we can pay for day-to-day governmental services, like a reimbursement back to ourselves. What that means is that -- that allows us to have a little bit of breathing room when it comes to the fund balance, and that's one of the -- the points or like the -- on of the main solutions that our five-year projection has shown, is the solution going forward to -- to have a stable and

sustainable fund balance for the -- at least for the next five years, and something that CBO Mason also touched on. The -- the -- the remaining funds then -- the -- the proposal then to use \$2 million for community outreach projects that's yet to be determined, and also for allowable infrastructure projects. We already got \$11 million thereabouts back in, I want to say March of this year, earlier this year, and we are expecting to receive another \$11 million in --

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: '22.

MR. SANMIGUEL: -- the third quarter of next year, of '22.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Right.

MR. SANMIGUEL: So once we receive those monies during -- then -- then we can have, pretty much, more -- a much better conversation about the use of those funds.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Correct. I -- I just want to make sure we looking at '21, '22, '23, and beyond, because once this non-reoccurring fund depleted, we set ourself on a good way to -- to just maintain our budget moving forward.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Right. So --

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Thank you. I -- I just want to say that I sent an email earlier to the City Manager and the City Clerk to ask for -- I don't know if it's the next meeting, to be moved to the 27th, so I can be present. And I hope we can agree on that, or -- I -- I don't know if we have to vote on it or not, but I'm hoping that we could have the meeting on the 27th, the next budget meeting on the 27th. I -- I won't be here, and I'll be traveling, so I would like to be here, present, for that meeting, and I think that would give us enough time to approve it and still get it over to the County, given the fact that I've seen where we done it like a day before, or two days before. So, at the appropriate time, we'll agree to that. Also, receive a phone call just before I come over there this afternoon, and I just want to share this with Commissioner Davis, and also I believe it's HR. I know we have a job fair not so long ago when a gentleman came out to the job fair, and he call me to tell me he was hired with the Seminole, and how grateful he was, and just looking out -- he was not even looking at the Seminole at all. I was just having a conversation with him, and the lady heard us, and ask him if he would like to work for the Seminole Indian -- they chatted, and he called me today, so he was very happy that something good came out of this job fair. So I just want to thank you, and thank everyone that make that job fair possible. I know there's more folks that were able to get jobs. And I think that's it for me for right now. Thank you so much.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yeah. Three questions for staff, I'm not sure how they want to respond, but three questions. What kind of formula do we use to calculate the benefits

from -- that have accrued so far from the Wellness Center? Are we yet seeing any benefits from our early retirement program? And, as I do every year, please explain to our residents why it is that they -- their rates are not going to be increased, but they'll see an increase in what they're actually spending, and, of course, including that fact that their property values have appreciated as well.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Commissioner Barnes, can you repeat your third question? I couldn't quite get it.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Okay. Regard -- regarding the in -- the non-increase in millage rate and fire fees, and so on, explain for our residents, if you would, why it is that they're going to pay a minimal amount more, and explain how that ties in with the appreciation of their property values.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Okay. Got you. So I'm going to start with your first question. So the early retirement program, it's -- it's a little bit too early to tell, but we are projecting to have savings of around \$2 million for the fiscal year 2022, and a longer term, five-year savings of anywhere from ten to \$12 million for -- for that program going forward. And that, of course, is contingent upon us being disciplined enough to keep those positions, you know, vacant or frozen or just off the books, of course. That is also contingent upon holding the -- the police retirees that -- that took the early retirement -- I'm sorry, the vol -- voluntary retirement at the point, so -- to keep to that schedule as well. As far as the -- the Wellness Center, and the benefits of the Wellness Center, Mr. Randy Cross has prepared a small speech for you.

MR. CROSS: Good evening, Mr. Mayor, Madam Vice Mayor, Members of the Commission. Randy Cross, Human Resources Director. So the -- the Wellness Center is on target. We are, contractually, supposed to be at our capacity 18 months into the contract, so we reach that next April; we're coming up on that now. We're right around 55 percent capacity on average. Because we're self-funded, what happens is traffic at the clinic, attendance at the clinic is on a -- it's a fixed fee; we pay a fixed fee per year for the service, so the more transactions that we have on the network, because we're self-funded, we pay for those -- for those claims. So the more services that could be provided at the clinic, instead of on the network -- that happen at the clinic, the cost per service decreases, because it's a fixed number -- to -- a fixed cost for the clinic. So the more business that happens at the clinic, cost per claim, comparable claim is less. So when we get to about 70-percent capacity, we'd be breaking even, so with 70 percent capacity regularly at the clinic, instead of occurring on the network, we'd be breaking even. Anything over that, we're actually saving money, because those same transactions, the same visits to the doctor, to your primary care doctor on the network, as opposed to the clinic, actually starts costing you less, because we're doing more business in the clinic. So, again, we're supposed to be at capacity by next April, that's what's in the contract, and we're on target to do that, despite COVID, and some of the other challenges we've had.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Thanks.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Thank you, Randy. And, as far as our -- the -- your other question, Commissioner -- so the property tax impact to the residents -- okay. So we're -- again, we're not raising the millage rate, right, so we stay at 7.1172 for fiscal year 2022, and due to the increased valuation of the property, which is a good thing for -- for residents. You know, we're building a -- all of us who are homeowners, we're building up equity in our homes, meaning that our -- our property values have gone up steadily for the past couple of years, as is evidenced by the improving real estate market, right. The downside to that, of course -- it's not really a downside, but it -- it's just a fact of life that, as the -- the property value increases, so do your -- your tax roll value. So on the -- for example, on the average, homesteaded, single-family, the -- the -- the taxable value for the average homesteaded single-family has gone up like \$4,800.00, and that just equates to a very modest increase in the City tax of \$34.34, because the -- the taxes, the millage rate, the revenue that we -- that we get from the property taxes is based on the taxable value, which is based on the valuation of the property. And that increase is due wholly -- because of that valuation. But for homestead properties, that -- that increase is capped around two percent, per the Save Our Homes provision. So that's the reason why some of the -- the residents might see a very modest increase in their tax bill, not because we are increasing the -- the millage rate, just because the -- the natural increasing of the property values that we are experiencing right now.

MR. MASON: Excuse me. I did want to add, as the Property Appraiser would, it's the lesser of three percent, and -- or unfortunately, for the homeowners, it's only 1.8 percent that has moved the valuations, because it's lesser of three percent, or a lower inflation number. So it is a fortunate thing in that regard that the cap is at -- tied to inflation, so it didn't go up three percent, it only went up 1.8 percent in Broward County for the average property, because inflation is running lower than three percent.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Because I -- I think it's very important for us to explain for our residents, and then it comes up from time to time that they are paying a minimal increase, but a lot of people still do not make the connection between the appreciation of their property, and how that ties in to -- to the change in what they actually pay for property taxes. So I -- I think that is very important, and I thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. I'd like to thank my colleagues for their questions, comments, and suggestions towards our end response to the budget presentation. A couple of comments. I think this budget is very sound. It -- it provides fiscal stability for the City. It also achieves financial stabilization. It addresses our pension obligation. But, ultimately, it preserves the existing city services that we offer to our community, to our residents and our business community, and, ultimately, that translate to a great quality of life. Before going to any specific questions regarding the budget, I do want to recognize the efforts of the City Manager and his staff, and our budget team to -- over the last few years, to present to the City Commission solution resources to address the future that we're currently, now facing, as well as other foresight to put us in the position to have the budget

that we have today. There are a lot of tough decisions that had to be made, and we did that in a way that had the least amount of fiscal impact to our community, as it relates to taxes. So I'm pleased that we are maintaining the same millage rate that we've had, but our residents are enjoying the benefits of a surging real estate market that has increased all of our property values here in the City. So I would like to give kudos to staff, especially in light of us coming and going through the pandemic. There are a lot of unknowns. We knew that we couldn't stand still. We invested in ourselves and took care of our community. We fed the vulnerable. We helped provide shelter to our residents and our business community who were economically impacted by the pandemic. We had a sense that there would be some federal response, in terms to the economic impact that the pandemic brought across this globe. And with our foresight, as well as our experience in working with Washington, as it relates to any reimbursements, keeping excellent records to be able to ensure that the funding through COVID relief, that we would be able to be reimbursed. We've already received half of the allocation that has been designated for our city, and we're well positioned to be in place to receive the -- the second half. And that has been a Godsend, because our revenues were significantly reduced, because we could not earn fees for services that we normally do throughout the year. Our shared income -- our shared revenue with our County and State counterparts, basically, were diminished. So kudos to our staff in -- in -- in -- in putting in the -- the -- the fiscal administrative procedures, recommendations from a policy standpoint, for the adoption by the City Commission. And I thank our -- our coll -- my colleagues for -- for all of our efforts to work together to put us where we are today. It's very customary between the first and second reading of the budget hearing that there will be some modifications in the -- in the -- in the -- in the second reading based off of feedback and comments, so we will have our discussions between now and the second hearing, and in the second hearing discuss these items moving forward. My first question is, can you repeat the savings from the re-funding of the -- of the bond that was re-funded. I think it was a million, 1. --

MR. SANMIGUEL: Yes, \$1.5 million for the bond that was re-funded.

MAYOR MESSAM: So the \$1.5 million in -- in debt service reduction, that savings, obviously, goes back into the General Fund to be allocated, so that encompasses some of the summertime changes, right, because the -- of the -- you -- you know, you're projected to pay 1.5, moving forward, to pay down the debt, but now that is -- that has been reduced, so that presents opportunities now for us to utilize those -- those funds in other areas of the City.

MR. SANMIGUEL: That's all -- so -- yes and no. So that's all delineated in the -- in a change memo, so while --

MAYOR MESSAM: For the benefit of the -- I'm asking the question for the benefit of the public, so they can understand.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Yes, yes. Yeah. So if that would -- those -- those funds became available through those savings, and throughout the summer, in conjunction with the City

Manager, the deputy, and all of the department directors, we formulated a set of summertime changes that would utilize those -- those -- those funds, those savings in the most efficient manner, and that's part of the summertime changes.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yeah. All right. So, in terms of the summertime changes, nothing really stood out that was alarming, at least for me, on -- on my part, in terms of the various departments. I support the areas. In police, obviously the actuarial police pension projection was lower than what we expected, so that's a positive, so that will move forward, because we don't -- we're not obligated to pay more than what it was originally funded, and we added funding for the ShotSpotter, and that was approved by the Commission. And some of the other changes, it's kind of de minimis, just a matter of opinion, in terms of where positions are -- are held, so it's not necessarily a showstopper for me from a -- from an administrative -- it's operations standpoint. Anything that would support public safety, anything that would support pension obligation, anything that would support our infrastructure, as it relates to the -- the summertime changes, my recommendation would be to -- to maintain those. However, any other changes that would come back from the second reading, I don't see anything that was -- that would be really, you know, a -- a -- a show -- a showstopper for me. I, too, would like to get understanding of the proposed city events to see exactly what we are offering the community. I just would like to ensure that when we look at our city events, that we do our best to be even better, as it relates to providing cultural and event opportunity for all of our city. We want to ensure that our very diverse community, that we begin to also look at some demographics of our community that doesn't necessarily get a direct city-sponsored event. I think we can do -- there are some opportunities for us to -- to -- to identify those opportunities, and I think we should definitely do that. So once -- I'll reserve comment, in terms -- until I see the list. I would say, however, because I, too, thought that it was -- that this was the comprehensive list that was being proposed, and I would be more in favor of adding an event, opposed to eliminating the event for the sake of making sure that we're inclusive of the community. So -- that we are ensuring that, regardless of your background in the community, if I'm African American, I feel I have events that cater to me. If I'm Jamaican, if I'm Haitian, if I'm Cuban, or if I'm Dominican -- obviously, we can't do specific events for everybody; we try to see how we do the broadest stroke, based off of our population -- our population, but we definitely have these opportunities here. And I think that's one of the great things about the City of Miramar, very vibrant, active community, lot of corporations, lot of homes, and -- plus we do a lot of great cultural events in our city. All of us have great ideas that make our residents feel, you know, that our city is special. They get an opportunity to express themselves, and I think that's one of the great benefits of our community. So I appreciate the comments from all of my colleagues on the Commission. I think compared to years past, between this reading and the second reading, I think it will be something that's obviously manageable, in terms of the comments that came back from staff. So, Mr. Manager, budget team, and City staff, kudos to this effort, and look forward to us proceeding through this first reading, and moving on to the second reading of -- of our budget. Again, as a reminder, this presentation was for items one, two, and three. We will have a first vote for item one that will set the millage rate -- will set the millage rate, and then we will proceed through items

two and three, which will not have a presentation, because we just had it, and we'll just take the vote on those -- on those items. So now I will entertain a motion to pass the first reading of the ordinance and set the millage rate at 7.1172. May I have a motion on item number one please.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Mr. Mayor, sorry.

MAYOR MESSAM: Excuse me?

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I just wanted to be clear. I know you mentioned that between the first reading and the second, the things that we had requested of the Manager, do we need consensus or --

MAYOR MESSAM: No.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: -- how does that work?

MAYOR MESSAM: So -- so, typically, how we would -- would always bring up items, I think there was really no debate between the suggestions. The only one item clarified for me -- for example, when you made the recommendation regarding the Afro Carib event, instead of the Black History event, if that -- if the other discussion would not have taken place, my recommendation would have been let's add the event, because there should be a Black History event in the City of Miramar, because Miramar is the largest black city in Broward, and it shouldn't be a either or. So my recommendation would have been let's add another event. But because the events were not removed, it's just that Parks & Recs had all of the official city events -- some of those events were moved under the City Manager's Office. I think it would have been clearer to the Commission had the presentation showed what events remained with Parks & Recs, what events were moved to the City Manager's Office, so we could have a complete list, comprehensive list of all the events that are coming up in the year. So -- I don't think any -- I think all the recommendations were okay. Oh, the only thing I would add in terms of the -- I definitely support public art and sculpture at Ansin Sports Complex. I would say, in terms of -- so your recommendation was to put -- at least for this funding cycle, \$100,000.00 for future sculpture. The -- the only comment I had regarding that, I would say is that -- obviously, Usain Bolt represents the epitome of track and field, and speed; he's the fastest man ever. I would suggest that we really have an opportunity to make that public work, that public art a connection to Ansin by also including -- for example, we have two Olympians that was raised on that track. We have Briana Williams, we have Khalifa St. Fort; Brianna, obviously, representing Jamaica, and Khalifa St. Fort represented Trinidad and Tobago. So I think through our discussion about what this ultimate sculpture would look like, I think to tell a story with, okay, are -- we have a world-class track facility, so I can make the connection with a Bolt being there, but to also be a inspiration to all those little boys and girls that run on that track field that's not attached to, let's say, a gold or silver or a diamond

league event that would be there, to say, "Wow, Briana, Khalifa came up here, and they are Olympians, and I can too." And that'll be that Miramar connection to it. But I know we're not solving that during the budget process, so I would support the -- the funding of what that public art would be. So in terms of the second reading, staff would -- all of us will have our meetings with staff for our recommendations we would bring forward. Because they're Commission-driven changes, we have to discuss it on the Commission, so staff would present the discussion from each representative, each -- each elected official that would be of fiscal impact, or would impact what was presented to us in the first reading, and then we would just give the direction at the second hearing how to proceed forward.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Thank you for the clarification.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Yeah, if I -- if I will, I'll just go ahead and comment on them. I do -- I do support the changes that were suggested as well. Again, my only comment is that all of these changes, not just what the City Manager has, but what the Commission has to bring them all together at the second reading, it doesn't have to be approved today, as the budget director asked. So -- at -- and when we get to item number three, I would like to make a motion for us to approve the budget, but without the change memo, without those changes, so that we can -- we can see all of the changes together at the second budget hearing.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. Any other comments. Okay. All right. So can I have a motion on item number one, please?

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE:

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Call the roll.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Colbourne.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Vice Mayor Colbourne, seconded by Commissioner Chambers, to approve Ordinance #O1779, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Chambers	Yes
Vice Mayor Colbourne	Yes
Commissioner Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Passed 1st Reading

SECOND READING SCHEDULED for September 27, 2021

MAYOR MESSAM: Now based on the proposed millage rate of 7.1172, a -- 4.45 percent increase in property taxes, compared with the rollback rate of 6.8137 mils, is tentative -- is tentatively adopted by the City of Miramar. A second public hearing to adopt the final millage rate will be held on Wednesday, September 22nd, 2021, at 6:00 p.m.

MAYOR MESSAM: Item number two, please.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Mayor, before we move, I had a request to move the next budget meeting to the 27th.

MAYOR MESSAM: Excuse me. I didn't hear, could you repeat?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: I said I -- I have a request to move the budget meeting to the 27th of September, which is the Monday.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: I don't have a problem with that date. I'm okay with the 27th, if it's okay with the budget, with --

MAYOR MESSAM: Yeah.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: -- with staff.

MAYOR MESSAM: What's -- what's the request for? Is that -- is --

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: I will be traveling, and I want to be at the next -- final budget hearing, and the -- I'll be back on the 24th, so I'm requesting that we move the next hearing to the 27th, Monday the 27th.

MAYOR MESSAM: I -- I have a challenge on the 27th. I guess we -- in terms of the meeting dates, I know, statutorily, we have to have certain -- we have to have the budget passed by a certain time, so that's the only other constraint that would be on there, outside of commission conflicts.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: I think you within --

MR. SANMIGUEL: This has to be done before the fiscal year starts. That's the -- that's the constraint, so -- but that's October 1st, so as long as we have it before October 1st.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: What is the --

MAYOR MESSAM: Is there another date? Can we -- can we just do the poll after the -- well, because we have to read into the record when the next reading is, correct?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Right. So the City Clerk needs to advertise for the -- for second reading, we have to advertise --

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Right.

MR. SANMIGUEL: -- separately, per the TRIM -- per TRIM --

MAYOR MESSAM: I guess my question is, if the meeting is to be rescheduled, do we have to know that date tonight?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Yes.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay.

MR. SANMIGUEL: We do.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: What is the latest date we can do that, we can have the meeting?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Two hours.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: What is --

MR. SANMIGUEL: No. So we -- we have to -- we have set the schedule, second reading for the 22nd. And so we have set up everything, the newspapers to -- to run the ads this -- this Sunday, I believe? Yes. So we have to know -- if it's not going to happen on the 22nd, we have to know very soon, because the City Clerk -- we have to coordinate with the City Clerk to either run the ad or not run the ad this weekend, so that's one point. The City Clerk also needs to -- I don't know if she has, maybe she can speak to that. I don't

know if she's got -- she had advertised the -- the second -- the -- the readings on the -- the ordinances on the second reading was the 22nd --

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: I'm sorry. That wasn't my question. My question --

MR. SANMIGUEL: Sorry.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: -- what -- what is the latest date that we can have the meeting, if the 27th is not good? What is the latest date we can have that second reading, and still be in compliance? Can we have it on the 28th?

MR. SANMIGUEL: So -- we -- we also can't conflict with any of the -- the County meetings, so -- the latest that we can have it is on the 30th of September.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: The 30th of September?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Yes. That's a Thursday.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah, I'm not going to be available.

MR. SANMIGUEL: And I would advise against that also, because that only leaves us a couple of hours to -- to kind of like roll the budget and have it live by the first. That's the only thing. That's why we like to have like a couple of weeks to -- to prepare the budget in -- in the system, and to be able to have it live October 1st, because I know that, while I may not work on Fridays, I know the City does work on Fridays.

MAYOR MESSAM: Is -- is there another date, Commissioner, you can propose?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Saturday or Sunday. But I think the 27th is the --

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Is the 28th or 29th not a possibility? I mean we have a meeting on the 29th. Is it possible for us to also have a budget hearing on that night? Would that work, Commissioner?

MAYOR MESSAM: I -- I wouldn't --

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: That's fine.

MAYOR MESSAM: -- recommend having a second -- I mean a -- because we don't know what these changes are going to be, we don't know what discussion is going to happen, and to intermingle two meetings, two separation meetings --

MR. SANMIGUEL: So we cannot have the meeting date -- the -- the second hearing of the budget can't be held on the same date as a regularly scheduled Commission meeting.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

MR. SANMIGUEL: So that -- that's an impossibility.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: It cannot?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: So we stuck with the 27th or the 28th, or the 30th.

MR. SANMIGUEL: The 22nd. Commissioner, could you attend remotely then?

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: I -- I prefer to be in person. I think the 27th is more --

MR. SANMIGUEL: The 22nd -- the 22nd, couldn't you attend remotely?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Let's do the 27th.

MAYOR MESSAM: The 22nd?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: 27th.

MAYOR MESSAM: I think -- I mean it's -- okay. So we can move on the meeting. We want to try our best to accommodate the -- the -- the Commissioner, but it seems there's a -- a lot of constraints in terms of availabilities. So if it is possible to attend remotely, your vote still counts, if you -- if the mechanism is there -- there to attend.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: I think Commissioner Davis, myself, Vice Mayor, and I think Commissioner Barnes will be available on the 27th. I think the 27th is appropriate. It's a Monday, everybody will be in town. It -- it's solid. If we have to vote on it, we vote on it.

MAYOR MESSAM: You suggested the 27th, correct?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes, sir.

MAYOR MESSAM: And Vice Mayor says that does not work for her?

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: The 27th is fine with me.

MAYOR MESSAM: What date did you said -- you said did not work with you? You said the 20 --

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: No, I -- I said the 27th was fine. I don't have a problem with the 27th. I'm good. We can do it on the 27th.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: So --

MAYOR MESSAM: Let me check --

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: 27th.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: 27th is perfect. I think the -- given the advertisement that going out, this -- we just decide right now, and the City Clerk could --

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. Does anyone have an -- a conflict with the 27th?

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: No.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: No.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Barnes, do you have a conflict with the 27th?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes, I do.

MAYOR MESSAM: Will you be able to attend remotely?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: No, because -- this -- this has to do with my daughter and going off to school, and putting a number of meetings in place as we prepare.

MAYOR MESSAM: What about the 28th?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: No, the 28th wouldn't be good.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: The 29th is the Commission meeting, not the --

MAYOR MESSAM: No, Commission is on the 29th.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: The County had theirs on the (unintelligible 1:43:11). So if there's no commission meeting on the 28th, --

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: The County?

MAYOR MESSAM: No, I don't --

MR. SANMIGUEL: The County has --

MAYOR MESSAM: When you brought up the County -- not -- is it the County Commission meeting, or County budget schedule?

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: The budget hearing.

MR. SANMIGUEL: The second public hearing for their budget. So the second public hearing for their budget for School Board is on the 14th, and for Broward County Commission is on the 21st, so those dates are out, so that doesn't include --

MAYOR MESSAM: So the 28th is available.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Oh, okay.

MAYOR MESSAM: So the -- so does the 28th work for you, Commissioner Barnes?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: I just said no.

MAYOR MESSAM: No, not the -- I went to the next date. Are you out that entire week?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: I'm saying, as of now, I wouldn't know.

MAYOR MESSAM: I'm not understanding. All right. The first request was the 27th; you're not available. So we're asking is the 28th available, which is the Tuesday, the following day?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: I'm saying no.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. He's not?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: When is it currently set to happen?

MR. SANMIGUEL: On the 22nd; it's scheduled on the 22nd.

MAYOR MESSAM: It's scheduled for the 22nd.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: That -- that is my understanding, and I'm saying the following week will going -- is going to be a major challenge for me.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. Maybe he wasn't going to.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Why don't we just vote on this right now?

MAYOR MESSAM: All right. We have to pick a date to move forward. But -- but for the record, the -- the -- the -- the hearing dates have been set for a while, so we all make our -- our -- our -- our -- our -- plan our travel, plan our affairs according to the published and scheduled dates, so -- so this is just now coming up now, so out of -- I'm just trying to accommodate those who have set their schedules according to the published calendar, and -- and trying to accommodate the current conflict of Commissioner Chambers, so that's why I'm trying to -- that's why I'm exhausting every opportunity to see if we can come up with a date that works for everyone. So for the -- for those who have made their

schedule, their travel -- their travel arrangements or their business -- or personal affairs according to the published date, that they're not adversely impacted as well. By a -- a request that's just coming tonight.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Well, I would like to go ahead and -- and make a motion or suggest that we go to -- we have the meeting on the 27th. All the individuals who are usually present at the meeting have -- have said that their calendar is clear, so I'm okay with the 27th.

MAYOR MESSAM: Well, except for Commissioner Barnes.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: For this --

MAYOR MESSAM: He says he wasn't available on the 27th.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: He always remote anyway, so what's the difference?

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: He's -- he's usually remote. He hasn't been to a commission meeting in -- in -- you know, -- so --

MAYOR MESSAM: No, he's saying that he's not in the -- the position to attend remotely, so I don't think him attending remotely is the issue.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Well, he hasn't afford -- he hasn't offered any other suggestion to try to accommodate what we're --

MAYOR MESSAM: Is there -- is there a proposed -- is there an alternative date, Commissioner Barnes, that you could offer?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: No, I don't have one.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. So what's your motion, Vice Mayor?

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Motion to hold the second budget hearing on September 27th.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: All right. So don't have a to call a vote, but the -- the 27th.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Well, it has been motioned and second, so --

MAYOR MESSAM: Well, I just -- I just realized it doesn't -- it's just more so we're just setting the date when we'll be available. And the 27th meet all statutory obligations, as well as budget schedules, and no conflicts, and public notice requirements, correct?

MR. SANMIGUEL: As far as budget is concerned, we have no conflict with that.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay.

MR. SANMIGUEL: I have to check with the Clerk to see if there's any conflicts with anything.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: No, I'm -- I'm good with that date.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. All right. Item number two, please.

2. Temp. Reso. #R7466 relating to the provision of **Fire Protection Services**, facilities, and programs in the City of Miramar, Florida; amending the Preliminary Rate Resolution; reimposing Fire Protection Assessments against assessed property located within the City for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2021; approving the rate of assessment; approving the assessment roll. (*Management & Budget Director Rafael Sanmiguel*)

CITY ATTORNEY POWELL: -- resolution of the City Commission of the City of Miramar, Florida, relating to the provision of Fire Protection Services, facilities, and programs in the City of Miramar, Florida; amending the Preliminary Rate Resolution; reimposing Fire Protection Assessments against assessed property located within the City for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1st, 2021; approving the rate of assessment; approving the assessment roll; and providing for an effective date.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. As the presentation stated, there was no changes or increases to the fire protection assessment. I have to provide an opportunity, still, for the public to comment on item number two. If I can get confirmation if we have any participants with their hands raised or not. Hearing and seeing none, I'm back to the dais. If there are no other discussions or questions, may now have a motion on item number two, please.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Question. I just want to ask. Is there still time for those residents who qualify for the fee waivers for fire to apply, those seniors?

MR. SANMIGUEL: Commissioner, unfortunately, no. We have to -- to send the -- the final roll to the County by then end of July, early August, so the County has that final roll, and so what I would suggest, if they have missed it, then next year just be aware that they do have to apply for it on a yearly basis.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Right. I -- I just want the resident to know, --

MR. SANMIGUEL: I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: -- so they can look forward to next year to start early to make sure they take advantage of those incentive.

MR. SANMIGUEL: Yeah. Next year is -- going to be available, so --

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. Moving on to item number three -- the fiscal year 2022 budget ordinance.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor, --

MR. SANMIGUEL: Did you vote on the first item -- the second item?

MAYOR MESSAM: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm just -- I'm -- correct. I stand corrected. May I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Motion to approve.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Madam Clerk, record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes. Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Colbourne.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Commissioner Chambers, seconded by Vice Mayor Colbourne, to amend Resolution #R7466, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Chambers	Yes
Vice Mayor Colbourne	Yes
Commissioner Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Resolution No. 20-161

MAYOR MESSAM: Trying to move too fast before they change their minds on raising these taxes. Item number three, please.

3. **FIRST READING** of Temp. Ord. #O1780 adopting the **tentative Operating and Capital Improvement Program** as the City of Miramar's **final Budget for Fiscal Year 2022**, allocating, appropriating, and authorizing expenditures in accordance with and for the purposes stated in said budget, excepting certain appropriations and expenditures from requiring further City Commission action; authorizing budgetary control by department total for all appropriations; providing for the authorization of all budgeted employment positions; providing for the creation of balanced revenue and expenditure accounts for receipt of monies by gift, grant, or otherwise, and the management and disbursement thereof; providing that the budget hereby adopted may be adjusted or modified by ordinance; providing for the authorization to lapse all encumbrances outstanding on September 30, 2021; providing authorization to re-appropriate lapsed Capital Outlay and Capital Improvement encumbrances in the 2022 Fiscal Year; and providing for an effective date. *(Management & Budget Director Rafael Sanmiguel)*

CITY ATTORNEY POWELL: -- City Commission of the City of Miramar, Florida, adopting the tentative Operating and Capital Improvement Program as the City of Miramar's final Budget for Fiscal Year 2022, allocating, appropriating, and authorizing expenditures in accordance with and for the purposes stated in said budget, excepting certain appropriations and expenditures from requiring further City Commission action; authorizing budgetary control by department total for all appropriations; providing for the authorization of all budgeted employment positions; providing for the creation of balanced revenue and expenditure accounts for receipt of monies by gift, grant, or otherwise, and the management and disbursement thereof; providing that the budget hereby adopted may be adjusted or modified by ordinance; providing for the authorization to lapse all encumbrances outstanding on September 30, 2021; providing authorization to re-appropriate lapsed Capital Outlay encumbrances and -- in the 2022 Fiscal Year; and providing for an effective date.

MAYOR MESSAM: All right, at this time, we'll have a public hearing on item number three. Are there any members from the public that wish to comment on this item? Are there any

hands raised? All right, I've been informed there's been no -- there are no hands raised for public participation. Back to the dais. Any comments or questions, or may I have a motion on item number three, please.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Okay, I'd like to move item number three with the budget as was published, without the changes that were provide, without the -- the Manager's changes that was provided in this memorandum.

MAYOR MESSAM: May I have a second.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Colbourne.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Vice Mayor Colbourne, seconded by Commissioner Chambers, to approve Ordinance #O1780, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Chambers	Yes
Vice Mayor Colbourne	Yes
Commissioner Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Passed 1st Reading

SECOND READING SCHEDULED for September 27, 2021

MAYOR MESSAM: Now a separate item, number four, please, public hearing to reimpose storm water management assessments.

4. Temp. Reso. #R7464 relating to the provision of **Stormwater Management Services** in the City of Miramar, Florida; reimposing Stormwater Management Service Assessments against assessed properties located within the City for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2021; approving the rate of assessment, approving the assessment roll. *(Public Works Director Anthony Collins)*

CITY ATTORNEY POWELL: -- Commission of the City of Miramar, Florida, relating to the provision of Stormwater Management Services in the City of Miramar, Florida; reimposing Stormwater Management Service Assessments against assessed properties located within the City for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1st, 2021; approving the rate of assessment, approving the assessment roll; and providing for an effective date.

MAYOR MESSAM: Good evening, sir.

MR. COLLINS: Good evening, Mr. Mayor, Madam Vice Mayor, Commissioners, City Manager. Tony Collins, Public Works Director. So the item in front of you tonight is fiscal year 2022 storm water management service assessment rate. Next please. So the City Commission is required for final authorization of the storm water management assessment rate for fiscal year 2022 required to bill assessment on homeowners' property tax bill. Just a little bit of background. City Commission approved preliminary assessment rate on July 7, 2021, Resolution No. 20-129. Final approval of assessment rate is set for Broward County Property Appraiser to bill assessment on homeowners' property tax bill in November. The annual assessment revenue: Assessment rate remains unchanged from the preliminary rates, 57,095.96 ERUs, \$102.00 per equivalent residential unit is a projected revenue of \$5,590,836.00 to be collected in Fiscal Year 2022. The revenues exclusively fund storm water operations, capital projects, equipment, and debt services. Slide depicts some of the projects that are funded by the assessment. And City Manager recommends approval.

MAYOR MESSAM: At this time, I'll call upon the members of the public to make any comments, or state any questions or ask any questions. Are there any members from the public who have raised their hands or in the chambers? Seeing and verifying none virtually, back to the dais. Are there any comments or questions, or may I have a motion on this item?

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Madam Clerk, record the votes, please.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Colbourne.

VICE MAYOR COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Vice Mayor Colbourne, seconded by Commissioner Chambers, to amend Resolution #R7464, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Chambers	Yes
Vice Mayor Colbourne	Yes
Commissioner Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Resolution No. 20-162

MAYOR MESSAM: And as a reminder, the second reading will take place at 6:00 p.m. on September 27th.

ADJOURNMENT

MAYOR MESSAM: This meeting is adjourned.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:08 p.m.

Denise A. Gibbs, CMC
City Clerk
DG/cp