



MINUTES OF THE CITY OF MIRAMAR REGULAR COMMISSION MEETING

June 12, 2019

7:00 P.M.

The regular meeting of the Miramar City Commission was called to order by Mayor Messam at 7:10 p.m. in the Commission Chambers, Miramar City Hall, 2300 Civic Center Place, Miramar, Florida.

Upon call of the roll, the following members of the City Commission were present:

Mayor Wayne M. Messam
Vice Mayor Alexandra P. Davis
Commissioner Winston F. Barnes
Commissioner Maxwell B. Chambers (Telephonic at 8:20 p.m.)
Commissioner Yvette Colbourne

The following members of staff were present:

City Manager Vernon E. Hargray
City Attorney Jaime Cole
City Attorney Alison Smith
City Clerk Denise A. Gibbs

MAYOR MESSAM: The meeting of the Miramar City Commission is now called to order. Madam Clerk, please call the roll.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: City Manager Hargray.

CITY MANAGER HARGRAY: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: City Attorney Smith.

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: Here.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: City Attorney Cole.

CITY ATTORNEY COLE: Here.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MAYOR MESSAM: Let us all rise for the pledge of allegiance. (Pledge recited)

A MOMENT OF SILENCE

MAYOR MESSAM: At this time, we'll be observing a moment of silence. The following employees have lost loved ones: Lorraine Palmer from the Utilities Department, uncle; Brandon Brewster, IT, uncle; Sarah Summy, CED, brother; Nara Barrett-Forbes, City Clerk's Office, stepdaughter and aunt; Andrea Pedlar, Financial services, aunt; Captain Kevin Neugent, PD, mother; Detective Natasha Richardson, PD, stepfather; Michael Kelly, PD, father; Karen Livernois, Management & Budget Department, mother-in-law; and Sylvia Izquierdo, Parks & Rec., lost her father. And if there any other -- other -- others in the community who have lost someone, we will now observe a moment of silence in their memory. Thank you.

PRESENTATIONS & PROCLAMATIONS

MAYOR MESSAM: We have a couple of presentations.

Presentation: University Drive Safety Improvements Study (Broward MPO Livability/Mobility Program Manager Ricardo Gutierrez)

MAYOR MESSAM: And our first presentation is University Drive Safety Improvement Study by the Broward MPO Livability/Mobility Program Manager Ricardo Gutierrez. Good evening, sir, welcome.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Thank you, Mayor, thank you Vice Mayor, members of the Commission. My name is Ricardo Gutierrez. I'm a transportation planner with the Broward Metropolitan Planning Organization. We, as an agency, are responsible to plan and fund transportation here in Broward, and to do so, we regularly conduct traffic, transportation planning studies, develop plans, as required by federal law, and also establish initiatives to help us identify areas of improvement, or deficiencies in the transportation network. One of our efforts was completed on 2015 was a University Drive Mobility Improvements Planning study. It was -- the limits were, basically, from county line to county line. We did work very closely with the City staff; actually, we established project advisory committee made up of all representatives from all 31 -- I'm sorry, from all the municipalities along the corridor, Broward County Transit, Broward County government, as well as FDOT. The purpose of the study was to actually to improve safety and mobility along the corridor, but not really looking at it from the vehicle perspective, but also transit, access to transit, transit amenities, but also including the pedestrian and actually bicycle movement. We currently have many projects in different phases of implementation throughout the corridor; we actually have nine packages of projects going forward. We have a few projects already starting in construction in the northern end. We have a couple of projects are in design and, actually, we -- we're here today to present to you the one that's in the City of Miramar, between Miramar and Pembroke -- Pembroke road. This is priority number four in our study, so I'll -- I'll quickly introduce Alex Estrada, who's the project management from FDOT, who's going to talk to you about the elements that we identified in the corridor for this particular segment, and also tell you about the schedule. And, after that, we'll be here for any questions that you might have.

MR. ESTRADA: Hello. My name is Alexander Estrada from Florida Department of Transportation. Thank you for having me here. So the University -- University project in Miramar is about 1.5 miles. It starts in the southern end at Riviera -- Riviera Boulevard and ends on Pembroke Road. So the main scope items are to provide buffered bike lanes, as well as abating any substandard sidewalks, curb ramps, throughout the corridor, as well as upgrading any pedestrian signal to calm downs as necessary. Also we have about three different bus stops that were identified in the previous study that would -- that would get upgraded or enhanced, such as to provide, potentially, bike racks, or if there is a shelter missing, we would add that too. One of the major, interesting parts of this project is a pedestrian bridge that is going to be over the canal right by Miramar Boulevard and University Drive intersection. So that is one of the major complex feature of this project that we're going to do as part of this project. Here's the schedule. So we -- we just advertised the design scope enlarged, and we're going to -- we currently are acquiring a design team, a consultant to do the design of this corridor. And we anticipate to have -- start -- to start designing the end of the -- this year in September, we're going to start a design process, which is going to take about 24 months. That is our current schedule, but once we have a team on board, we'll be able to further look on the schedule and see how we're going to accomplish this project. So this is our contact information, if you have any questions, me and Ricardo from MPO.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you. I have a couple of questions, actually, on three items. The bus shelters, pedestrian bridge, and the schedule duration. I'll take the bus shelters

first.

MR. ESTRADA: Yeah.

MAYOR MESSAM: The bus shelters. Will this be an -- an allocation to the City to construct, or will the State conform to our bus shelter design?

MR. ESTRADA: We'll have the Miramar standard bus shelters placed for this project.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. And, in terms of the pedestrian bridge, what would determine the go or no go in terms of the construction of that pedestrian bridge?

MR. ESTRADA: So there's several items. First of all, this is -- the canal is not on DOT right of way, so this is South Broward Drainage District canal, and we would require to have a permit with them, so that is one of the, basically, key stakeholders also in this project. So South Broward Drainage District, and the City of Miramar, since this is outside the FDOT right of way, there will be a maintenance agreement with the City to maintain the bridge after construction. So those are kind of the biggest -- biggest things of completing this bridge. And we -- we still haven't -- we -- we're going to go through our design process and see what type of bridge what we are -- we're going to construct. We would -- we're going to coordinate with the -- with the City, as well as the Drainage District to make sure we -- you know, we address everybody's concerns of -- of this bridge.

MAYOR MESSAM: So barring the South Broward Drainage District's not approving this -
-

MR. ESTRADA: Yes.

MAYOR MESSAM: Because I couldn't imagine the Commission not approving such an agreement, then -- so the State would be handling that. Is there any support you need from the City in terms of -- with the South Broward Drainage District? Obviously, FDOT has worked with many authorities in the past, in terms of permitting, so I'm sure your processes are in place. But is there any support you need from the City?

MR. ESTRADA: Yes. We'll need -- as -- throughout the design, we're going to be coordinating. We'll -- we'll need definite coordination with -- we've already been coordinating with Bissy from the City, and -- to see what type of structure is it going to end up being. And then we'll -- we'll -- we're going to be coordinating -- we already have been coordinating with the South Broward Drainage District on the clearances and what else they require in us. Like revamping of the -- the -- that section of the canal. There's some other, you know, wish -- they gave us, basically, like a wish list of things that they want to see, so we're going to be working closely with the City and the Drainage District to -- to accomplish this.

MAYOR MESSAM: And the -- the schedule duration that you showed on the screen, --

MR. ESTRADA: Yes

MAYOR MESSAM: Is that the schedule for the entire corridor throughout the County, or just the City's --

MR. ESTRADA: Just -- just this project.

MAYOR MESSAM: Just the City's section?

MR. ESTRADA: Yeah.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. And outside of the scope of this presentation, we do have a pedestrian bridge that crosses over University, just north of Miramar Parkway. If the City would like to, perhaps, explore beautification alternatives for the existing pedestrian bridge, could that be incorporated as well, in terms as a bid alternate?

MR. ESTRADA: Right now, we don't have that in the scope. I don't know if Ricardo --

MAYOR MESSAM: I know it's not in the scope, but what would preclude, for example, that we would include that -- I guess, in this case, a bid alternative, in terms of this -- the scope of the work.

MR. GUTIERREZ: We can certainly see if we can do something about that, definitely not in the scope at this time. What exactly improvements would you like to see on this bridge? What enhancements or beautification of the bridge?

MAYOR MESSAM: Fencing. Obviously, it's a structure, a pedestrian bridge, so not much modification would be needed.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Right, right.

MAYOR MESSAM: But there are, for example, fencing options that could, perhaps, enhance the aesthetics of the pedestrian bridge. It's just a -- an idea, I think, is worth exploring, since there will be work going on, and it -- if it could be coordinated.

MR. GUTIERREZ: We're there, right. We're there, might as well look at all the things that we would do on the corridor while we're actually working on that segment. I think that we can certainly take a look at that and see if we can do that. Right now, it's not part of the scope, but we can certainly work. FDOT is always open to new ideas, so we'll see how we can actually enhance the aesthetics of that corridor or that bridge. No commitments, but we're definitely committed to look into it.

MAYOR MESSAM: Have not, because you ask not, right? Okay, we do have two speakers, Vice Mayor Davis, followed by Commissioner Colbourne. Vice Mayor, you're recognized.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Thank you. On the issue with the -- the current bridge, I know that in the past we used to be able to put advertising on there. So, for example, we have an event that's coming up, and we want to use that to let folks know about the event, and, somehow, that was stopped; I'm not sure the reasoning behind that. But for this new bridge, is that something that we could do, you could look into?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Certainly look into. We have to work with the owners of the facilities, which would be the South Florida Drainage District if they allow us to do that.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So they --

MR. GUTIERREZ: South Broward.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: They are going to be the owners of the bridge?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Well, not -- the bridge will be maintained by the City --

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So we're maintaining it --

MR. GUTIERREZ: -- within the jurisdiction of the South Broward Drainage District. So we can ask and see what --

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Right. Because if we're maintaining it --

MR. GUTIERREZ: Yeah.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: The current bridge we don't maintain, correct?

MR. GUTIERREZ: I don't -- I don't think so. We'll have to defer to the DOT --

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: We don't maintain the current one, as far as I know, right?

MAYOR MESSAM: It's FDOT.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Florida Department of Transportation.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: All right. So if we're going to maintain it, hopefully, in some kind of agreement that we reach, as far as maintaining it, then maybe we could look at being able to advertise from the bridge. It's going to be a pedestrian walkway also?

MR. GUTIERREZ: It's a pedestrian walkway, actually.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Right. So if you can make notes of that, we'd like -- we would desire to do something like that. In addition, that canal, I don't know if it has anything -- you know, it's on University, but a lot of times it's not properly maintained, so we're hoping

that as part of, you know, that bridge being installed, and some foot traffic, that they'll be a proper schedule maintenance on the canal over there.

MR. GUTIERREZ: We'll work with the departments.

MR. ESTRADA: We'll have to work with our partner, South Broward Drainage District, because they're the ones that own the canal. So there might be some -- I know they've voiced their concern about, maybe, littering, things like that, so we're going to look into what we can do and incorporate it in our design, maybe, to -- to reduce that.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: The amount of littering that goes, and making sure that they maintain it, maybe, more frequently, because now you've got an extra area that people can walk and litter, so -- perfect. Well, thank you.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Colbourne, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yes. Thank you. To Vice Mayor comment, in terms of -- of -- of the litter and -- and improvements in that area, we do have another project along Utopia. Maybe Bissy Vempala can give us a few words on that project, because it complements this as well.

MS. VEMPALA: Good evening, Mayor, Vice Mayor and Commissioners, Bissy Vempala, City engineer. Last year, we submitted a grant application to Broward MPO, the Complete Street Localized Initiative Program for Utopia Drive from Riviera Boulevard all the way to Pembroke Road. The project is to demolish this existing substandard walkway. It's already torn out right now. It's not usable. So to construct a new six feet wide nice good walkway there. So that's going to be -- that's already in the utilities program. It's funded for design in Fiscal Year '23 -- no, sorry. Sorry, sorry. It's not funded yet, but it's been approved.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: It's been approved.

MS. VEMPALA: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Okay.

MS. VEMPALA: Hopefully by -- I think -- I think, based on their schedule, it's Fiscal Year '23 design, '25 construction.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Okay.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay?

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Okay. To Mr. Mayor, to your comments, and in terms

of the improvements on the bridge, if that's not something that we can include in this project, it is -- it is certainly something that we would want to go ahead and submit as -- as another project at some point for whatever grant application that -- that there is, so that we can get some -- get some improvements on that. Or if there is any other venue with the MPO where we can get that done, we certainly want to do that. Thank you so much. As the MPO representative for the City of Miramar, I do appreciate your presentation. Thank you for coming out.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you all for the presentation. We'll have -- you know, often, if you're doing more projects in our City, like Pembroke Road to US 27. Thanks so much for your presentation. All right. We have a few proclamations to present.

Proclamation: American Medical Depot Day ((Mayor Wayne M. Messam)

MAYOR MESSAM: And at this time, if we can have Ms. Beverly Kuykendall, representative of American Medical Depot. This proclamation is in observance American Medical Depot Day:

Whereas, American Medical Depot is a responsible and active partner, neighbor and citizen in the communities in which they have a presence; and, Whereas, their best-in-class performance and supply chain management, logistics, and medical product distribution has been built on their commitment to honesty, integrity, transparency, and accountability; and, Whereas, they are a role model in promoting equal opportunities, and valuing diversity, equality, and inclusion in their workplace, and in the companies they do business with; and, Whereas, they have promoted and invested in sustainable, environmental practices, and use the most appropriate and efficient environmental technologies in its operations; and, Whereas, they have demonstrated their commitment to our community through the financial and volunteer support of civic life, healthcare, education, and the arts. Now, therefore, I, Wayne Messam, Mayor of the City of Miramar, and on behalf of the City Commission, do hereby proclaim June 19th, when it comes next week, as American Medical Depot Day.

MAYOR MESSAM: American Medical Depot is one of our prominent corporate residents in the Miramar Park of Commerce. For those of you have been around the City for a while, they took over the old USA Today building in the Miramar Park of Commerce, and they're a quiet, little, I think, billion dollar company in the City of Miramar, providing services to the federal government. And we thank them for the jobs they create, and their contributions to our economy. So thank you so much, Beverly, for representing the company, and all that you do for AMD, as well as the City of Miramar.

Proclamation: Parks and Recreation Month (Mayor Wayne M. Messam)

MAYOR MESSAM: At this time, I'd like to call Mr. Billy Neal, Assistant Director of Parks & Recs, and Operations Manager, John Kee to accept proclamation for Parks and Recreation Month. Gentlemen, welcome. Oh, and lady -- I did not see the name on the list. You'll get a hug. Proclamation, Parks and Recreation Month, July 2019:

Whereas, parks and recreation programs enhance the quality of life by contributing to the healthy -- healthy lifestyles, community building, economic development, and environmental sustainability; and, Whereas, recreation builds family unity, strengths, neighborhood involvement, and other -- and offers opportunities for social interaction, enhances education, develops creativity, and promotes cultural diversity; and, Whereas, Florida recognizes the benefits derived from quality public and private recreation, and park resources at the local and state level; and, Whereas, local, state and national parks located within the State of Florida contribute to the overall quality of life enjoyed by residents of the visitors to our State; and, Whereas, to increase enjoyment and for individuals and families who want to enjoy a healthy environment, the City of Miramar has within its boundaries 42 parks with 600 acres for residents to enjoy; and, Whereas, the National Recreation & Parks Association, and the Florida Recreation & Park Association have designated July as Parks and Recreation Month. Now, therefore, I, Wayne Messam, Mayor of the City of Miramar, and on behalf of the City Commission, do hereby proclaim July 2019 as Parks and Recreation Month.

MAYOR MESSAM: And we in the City of Miramar definitely can be very proud of all the parks we have throughout our community, whether it's a passive park, or very user-intensive parks, like Regional, and Ansin, and Forzano, and all the parks in our community where it basically is a congregation place for the community. It's probably one of our most used assets in the entire City of Miramar. And we thank our staff for doing such a good job with the resources that they have to keep up our parks. We recently, through the revenue bond and other resources have renovated many of the parks, and recently we've been able to build parks for communities that have been waiting for years for them. So thanks so much for your diligence, thanks so much for all that you do in our community.

Proclamation: Saint John Coptic Orthodox Church Global Coptic Day (Mayor Wayne M. Messam) (Requested by Vice Mayor Alexandra P. Davis)

MAYOR MESSAM: Our next proclamation, requested by Vice Mayor Alexandra Davis, if you want to come forward, and we'd like to invite Father Timothy and Maryann Fami, and the rest of the congregation that may be present, and the Commission, okay. Well, congregation, as well -- and the Commission. Everybody come up, everybody come up. Proclamation Saint John The Baptist Coptic Orthodox Church Global Coptic Day, requested by Vice Mayor Alexandra P. Davis:

Whereas, the City of Miramar takes pride in supporting religious liberties and the work to ensure that people of all faiths are free to live and worship according to their conscience and beliefs; and, Whereas, they celebrate their inaugural and global

Coptic Day, President Donald J. Trump recognized that the Coptic Orthodox community is an integral part of the faith community; and, Whereas, June 1 marks the first global Coptic Day, which coincides with the Feast of our Lord, Jesus Christ's entry to Egypt with the holy family; and, Whereas, Global Coptic Day is a day to celebrate the Coptic Orthodox Church's rich heritage, including its indelible history of martyrdom and prosecution, theological education, and monasticism; Whereas, it is fitting and proper that the City of Miramar extend official recognition to St. John The Baptist Coptic Orthodox Church located in the City of Miramar as participants of Global Coptic Day. Now, therefore, I, Wayne Messam, Mayor of the City of Miramar, and on behalf of the City Commission do, hereby, proclaim the first day of June 2019 as Global Coptic Day in the City of Miramar.

MAYOR MESSAM: And we thank you so much for all that you do, and the congregation there at St. John, The Baptist. Your contributions are -- are -- are immeasurable. I think you're going through a little renovation right now, a massive addition to the church, so we wish you well in that presentation. Vice Mayor, you have any words?

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Just thank you for coming. I really appreciate this. I've been to your facility on many occasions. I wasn't able to come this past time you had that event there. But I -- I wanted to do something special, and I think this is really important, because a lot of people don't even know about your church there, and what you do, so this is good for us, it's good as a community, to understand the various faiths that are here. And thank you again.

FATHER SOLIMAN: Orthodoxy is the most kept secret in the City of Miramar. And you - - and you all need to know about it, so come by and stop by and find out about the heritage. The first African church in the world.

MAYOR MESSAM: And for those of you who may wonder where they're located, if you're traveling on the Turnpike Homestead extension going, I guess, eastward before it splits to go north and south, where the -- where the telecommunications pole with the large Miramar flag, just north of the Turnpike, that's where their church is, where the humungous addition is taking place at their church. So can't wait to see how it -- how it take -- how it turns out, so thanks so much, and God bless you, and thanks for all that you do in the community. All right, good stuff.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (7:30 P.M. – 8:00 P.M.)

MAYOR MESSAM: All right. The time is now 7:38; time for public participation. We have two members from the public that have signed up to speak. Our first member is none other than Debra Scialabba. Good evening. Welcome.

MS. SCIALABBA: Thank you, good evening. Debra Scialabba, 6490 SW 26 Street. First, let me say I mean no disrespect to anyone up there. There are no words to describe the

horrible behavior displayed at that dais June 5th meeting; unprofessional, conduct unbecoming, atrocious, appalling, to name a few. Your behavior definitely embarrassed us, and the resident deserve an apology this evening. Thinking back, we have not had any peace or smooth running government since 2009. At March 24th at the Woman's Empowerment Luncheon, I was introduced to one of the attorneys to which the law firm you want to represent our city. This lawyer said that they hired an attorney from Miami. It was said the attorney's law firm should become vendor and get a number. I was told this attorney's law firm would be coming to Miramar if all goes well. What could I say but, "Welcome to Miramar." Ms. Austin Pamies Norris Weeks already is in our vendor list and was assigned a number. Resolution 17-94, she had already been working for our City as a special magistrate of code enforcement July 3rd, 2017, expiring July 2nd, 2018. June 5th meeting, Ms. Austin Pamies was going to be special magistrate July 3rd, 2019 to July 2nd, 2020, but this was withdrawn. I have to state for the record where my research takes me. 2013 campaign contributions made to Commissioner Colbourne and Vice Mayor Davis by both attorneys; April 30th, 2014, Austin Pamies Norris Weeks was filed with the State as a partnership. April 25th, 2019, the partnership of Austin Pamies Norris Weeks and Powell was filed with the State. May 1st meeting, Vice Mayor Davis, you clearly violated the Sunshine when you met with the partners at Austin Pamies Norris Weeks and Powell. You wanted to terminate our current City attorneys, not for just cause, but for no reason other than they've been with us for 20 years, despite they were number eight as a diversity law firm, impeccable resume, length of time representing other cities, Aventura 28 years alone, and they saved our City millions of dollars over the years. What is the rush for this transition? The real reason appears to many of us, you want your law firm to represent us. It appears this was the plan since 2013. In National Weekly, Thursday, March 14th, March 20th, 2019, Vice Mayor Davis, you were quoted as saying, "I plan to write -- I plan work hard fulfilling my campaign promises, and will continue to engage the Miramar community, hear their concerns, and provide for solutions where possible." We ask you, regarding fulfilling your campaign promises, to whom are you fulfilling these campaign promises to? A lot of feel, certainly not to the residents.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you.

MS. SCIALABBA: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Mr. Mayor, I'd like to comment on that.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Okay. You know, as a city official, as an elected official, I like hearing from our residents, and I like to know what is it that they have on their mind. And when they approach this dais respectfully, and they have something to share, that is something for us to consider, I appreciate it. Let me tell you what I don't appreciate. I don't appreciate residents coming to the podium, using our time to make accusations on things that have nothing to do with the point that they're trying to make. I think if they have an issue, something specific, where we have authority, they can deal with that. Ms.

Scialabba said she meant no disrespect. Let me say this, she meant disrespect. There's no way to disrespect someone and say you meant no disrespect. There's no way for you draw conclusions, and make such accusations and say you meant no disrespect. I don't think we have a place in this Commission Chambers for that type of behavior. If you have things that -- I have questioned when Ms. Scialabba comes up, things that I have wondered exactly who she's speaking on behalf, and why specifically. But I would never, never considered making any accusations. That was disrespectful, and it should not be allowed. It's not the first time that personal attacks are being made on me and other fellow commissioners, and I don't -- I -- I don't -- I don't think it's appropriate. My office is open, Ms. Scialabba. It's open to any resident. You have something you would like to discuss with me, please, please come and see me. Personal attacks, you don't have any -- there's no place for it in this -- in this Commission Chambers.

MAYOR MESSAM: Our next speaker is Richard Taylor. Is Richard Taylor here? Good evening, sir. State your name, address for the record, please.

MR. TAYLOR: Richard Taylor, 3900 East Lake Terrace, Miramar. Mr. Mayor, Vice Mayor, Commissioners, City Manager. Albert Einstein is quoted as saying the only source of knowledge is experience. Yet, in the City of Miramar, it seems to be the only place where it's detrimental. I find it simply astonishing that we would call for the resignation and termination of the City Attorney after they have served the City so well. Mr. Cole and Ms. Smith clearly established their experience. The model of the City of Miramar is Beauty and Progress. I don't see beauty in this, and I certainly don't see progress. I can't help but wonder what's driving this. I find it disappointing also that we would bring topics such as terminating the City Manager or the City Attorneys to the last topic of the meeting. It takes up a lot of time, and it seems as though we're hiding behind the time allowed for meetings. Thank you very much.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you. That concludes our public participation.

CONSENT AGENDA

MAYOR MESSAM: We're now on to Consent Agenda. Items listed on the Consent Agenda are viewed to be routine, and the recommendation will be enacted by one motion in the form listed below. If discussion is desired, the items will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately. Anyone wishing to comment on any item on the Consent Agenda should approach the podium now. Are there any members from the public that wish to comment on the Consent Agenda? Seeing none, back to the dais. Are there any items that wish to be pulled by the Commission? If none, I'll accept a motion.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Commissioner Colbourne, seconded by Commissioner Barnes, to approve Consent Agenda Items 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Colbourne	Yes
Vice Mayor Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

1. Minutes from the Visioning Session of May 9th, 2019 and the Regular Commission Meeting of May 15th, 2019.

Approved

2. Temp. Reso. #R6954 approving **Amendment No. 1** to Agreement with H.G. Construction, Development & Investment, Inc., for **Miramar Parkway Streetscape from SW 68 Avenue to SW 64 Avenue**, to revise the contractor bid calculation error from the not-to-exceed amount of \$1,490,300 00 to \$1,500,300.00; authorizing the City Manager to execute the Amendment No. 1 to reflect the revised not-to-exceed amount of \$1,500,300.00, and allocating a Construction Contingency Allowance of \$250,000, for a total project cost not-to-exceed \$1,750,300.00. *(City Engineer Bissy Vempala and Procurement Director Alicia Ayum)*

Resolution No. 19-129

3. Temp. Reso. #R6966 supporting **Complete Street Improvement Projects**, included in the Broward Metropolitan Planning Organization's Complete Streets

Master Plan, along Miramar Parkway and Pembroke Road. *(City Engineer Bissy Vempala)*

Resolution No. 19-130

4. Temp. Reso. #R6967 supporting the **Metropolitan Planning Organization's Project** to enhance **bicycle and pedestrian connectivity** along University Drive, from Riviera Boulevard to Pembroke Road; further expressing its intent to take over maintenance of certain improvement elements within the Project. *(City Engineer Bissy Vempala)*

Resolution No. 19-131

5. Temp. Reso. #R6987 approving a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") between the City of Miramar, Broward County, and Participating Municipalities, formalizing the shared desire to establish and implement a **Regional Solid Waste and Recyclables System**; authorizing the City Manager to execute the Memorandum of Understanding regarding a collaborative study and subsequent development of an integrated Solid Waste and Recycling System. *(Interim Director of Public Works Anthony Collins)*

Resolution No. 19-132

End of Consent Agenda

QUASI-JUDICIAL PUBLIC HEARING

MAYOR MESSAM: On to Quasi-Judicial Public Hearing.

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: I'll now read the procedures for quasi-judicial proceedings. Florida Courts have determined that there are certain types of matters, including the following applications, which are to be treated differently than other issues considered by the Commission. Most decisions of the Commission are legislative in nature, which means that the Commission is acting as a policymaking body. In contrast, in quasi-judicial matters, the Commission is applying existing rules and policies to a factual situation and is, therefore, acting like a judge or a jury in a courtroom. In such cases, the courts have decided that due process and fundamental fairness requires that more formal procedures be followed. The City of Miramar's procedures for quasi-judicial hearings are as follows: all who wish to speak shall be collectively sworn in by the City Clerk. The hearings will be conducted in an informal manner. I will read the title of the item to be considered, City Staff will present a brief synopsis of the application and make a recommendation, next will be a presentation by the applicant. The Commission will then hear from participants in favor of and in opposition to the application. All witnesses are subject to cross examination by City staff, City Commission and the applicant, and a participant may

request that the Commission ask questions of a witness. The applicant and staff will make concluding remarks. No further presentations or testimony shall be permitted, and the public hearing will then be closed. All decisions of the Commission must be based upon competent, substantial evidence presented to it at the hearing. All backup materials provided to the City Commission as part of the agenda will automatically be made a part of the record at the hearing. All approvals will be subject to the staff recommended conditions, unless otherwise stated in the motion for approval. The City Clerk shall now swear in the witnesses.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: All of those wishing to provide testimony on the following quasi-judicial items please stand and raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? Please remain standing to receive a colored dot.

MAYOR MESSAM: All right. Item number six, please.

6. **FIRST READING** of Temp. Ord. #O1725 considering **Application No. 1902591** for a change to the official zoning map of the City of Miramar, **Rezoning** from CF, Community Facilities, to ML, Mixed-Use Low, for an approximately 5.8-acre real property (also designated as Tract C and Tract D on the unrecorded plat of Foxcroft), located on the north side of Miramar Parkway, about 800 feet west of Douglas Road, in accordance with Sections 301 and 304 of the City's Land Development Code; making findings; providing for adoption; providing for severability; providing for correction of scrivener's errors; and providing for an effective date. (*Community & Economic Development Principal Planner Michael Alpert*)

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: Item number six is an ordinance of the City Commission of the City of Miramar, Florida, considering Application No. 1902591 for a change to the official zoning map of the City of Miramar, Rezoning from CF, Community Facilities, to ML, Mixed-Use Low, for an approximately 5.8-acre real property (also designated as Tract C and Tract D on the unrecorded plat of Foxcroft), located on the north side of Miramar Parkway, about 800 feet west of Douglas Road, in accordance with Sections 301 and 304 of the City's Land Development Code; making findings; providing for adoption; providing for severability; providing for correction of scrivener's errors; and providing for an effective date.

MAYOR MESSAM: Mr. Alpert, good evening.

MR. ALPERT: Good evening, Mr. Mayor, Vice Mayor, Commissioners, City Manager, City Attorneys, City Clerk, Michael Alpert, Principal Planner. The subject property was conveyed to the City in 1974 as part of the Foxcroft redevelopment -- development rezoning, and it encompasses almost -- actually, six acres, because part of this is also related to a land use amendment, which is three acres, and is located on Miramar Parkway next to Fire Station 70. It is the former site of the City Public Safety Building,

which was demolished around 2011. It is now the City's intent to develop the subject property with a senior, rental, affordable housing project. The subject property is designated CF, community facilities on the City's zoning map. This land use category -- this zoning category provides for a full range of regional and community facilities, such as governmental offices, educational facilities, religious facilities, civic and cultural uses, public utilities, and recreational uses. However, it does not permit residential uses, other than special residential facilities. The City is proposing to change the zoning designation of the subject property to mixed use low, ML. This zoning district provides for a full range of commercial and multifamily residential uses. A community meeting was held on May 7th. However, no one was in attendance. There were two emailed objections, which is part of your backup in attachment three to this application. The Planning & Zoning Board approved this -- recommended approval of this application on May 14th. The next slide shows the land -- the current land use -- sorry, zoning designations for the properties, and it would be to ML, which is the purple color. City Manager recommends approval of this application, and once the land use amendment has a public hearing, its final public hearing, which is expected to be on your July agenda, and the second reading of this rezoning, it would be considered internally consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you. Are there any questions from the dais for staff? Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Just -- just for information sake. What kind of relationship would there be should this go ahead with the facility that would be to what, its west, the adult center, which is next door to where the police station was? What kind of relationship would there be?

MR. ALPERT: As far as the location of the building?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Mm-hmm.

MR. ALPERT: It would be close to the street, near the sidewalk, and the proposal would likely have up to 115 units, so it would be a multistory building, but it would be as close as possible to the sidewalk and -- and the right of way, with the parking behind, and landscape, buffers and so forth.

MAYOR MESSAM: Are there any other questions from the dais? Are there any comments from the applicant? Are there any members from the public that wish to comment on this item? Seeing none, back to the dais. Vice Mayor Davis?

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: I just want to have an idea as to -- I know you mentioned what's going to be there. Do you have the drawings, renderings, anything? I mean --

MR. ALPERT: Not at this time.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: But it's already been sold to somebody?

MR. ALPERT: No. City -- the City owns the property. What we're trying to do here with changing the land use and the zoning is to provide the entitlement to allow this type of use.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. It's just that some of the letters seem -- the two letters seem to allude to what is already going to be going up, and I don't know if there was any kind of presentation --

MR. ALPERT: No.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: -- that made them believe that it's going to be so many stories, and they're going to be looking over at their property. So that hasn't been out to the public?

MR. ALPERT: It was presented that it would be a multiple story residential building, yes.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: And that's something that we decided, or somebody has come to you with that idea?

MR. ALPERT: Somebody has come to us with that idea, Pinnacle Housing Group.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. And it's for senior affordable housing?

MR. ALPERT: Yes.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: And we have a rental amount -- I mean do you have any information?

MR. ALPERT: I do not.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Not at this time?

MR. ALPERT: Not at this time.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: But you do want us to change it to accommodate that particular --

MR. ALPERT: Yes.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: But you have nothing on it?

MR. ALPERT: The details I believe --

MR. VAZQUEZ: Can I speak?

MR. ALPERT: Sure. Thank you.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Hector Vazquez, Strategic Development Office; good evening. This is the subject of a -- this site is the subject of an unsolicited proposal that we received probably back around October last year, Vice Mayor. And the idea is to develop 115 units. So we've been working with Pinnacle to go ahead and do that. These are the very first parts of the deal to go ahead and get the land use and the rezoning in place. Then after, we will go through the formal site plan process, so the public, as well as yourself, will have the opportunity to comment on design and everything else.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: All right. Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you, Vice Mayor. So -- so -- so it's clear for the public, this is not the approval of any project. This is just for a land use change for the entitlements, for a prospective of a senior affordable project. All right. If there are no other questions, may I have a motion for the first reading of item six, please.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Motion to approve.

MAYOR MESSAM: May I have a second?

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Commissioner Barnes, seconded by Commissioner Colbourne to approve Ordinance #O1725, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Colbourne	Yes

Vice Mayor Davis
Mayor Messam

Yes
Yes

Passed 1st Reading

SECOND READING SCHEDULED for July 17, 2019

RESOLUTIONS

MAYOR MESSAM: Resolutions. Item number seven, please.

7. Temp. Reso. #R6971 approving a purchase and sale agreement and related exhibits between the City, as seller, and Related Development, LLC, as buyer, for the 3.742-acre **Miramar Town Center Block 2** property for a purchase price of \$3,200,000.00, for the development of 27,500 to 32,500 square feet of ground floor retail and up to 400 residential apartment units on the Block 2 property; approving a 50-year lease for the City-owned 14,000 square foot existing retail shell space located on the ground floor of the Police Headquarters Building to Related Development, LLC; authorizing the expenditure of associated closing costs for the purchase of the property; authorizing the City Manager to execute the Purchase and Sale Agreement and any associated condition precedent documents required to close. (*Strategic Development Officer Hector A. Vazquez*)

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: Item number seven is a resolution of the City Commission of the City of Miramar, Florida, approving a purchase and sale agreement and related exhibits between the City, as seller, and Related Development, LLC, as buyer, for the 3.742-acre Miramar Town Center Block 2 property for a purchase price of \$3,200,000.00, for the development of 27,500 to 32,500 square feet of ground floor retail and up to 400 residential apartment units on the Block 2 property; approving a 50-year lease for the City-owned 14,000 square foot existing retail shell space located on the ground floor of the Police Headquarters Building to Related Development, LLC; authorizing the expenditure of associated closing costs for the purchase of the property; authorizing the City Manager to execute the Purchase and Sale Agreement and any associated condition precedent documents required to close, and providing for an effective date.

MAYOR MESSAM: Good evening.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Good evening again, Mayor, Vice Mayor, Commissioners, Hector Vazquez, Strategic Development Office. Late last year, the City undertook a comprehensive request for proposals process to solicit a qualified builder/developer for the sale of the 3.742-acre Miramar Town Center Block 2, and for the lease of 14,000 square feet of existing shell retail space on the ground floor of the Police Headquarters. The RFP concluded with the -- a sole response from Related Development, LLC. In January of this year, the City Commission approved the results of the RFP, and directed

staff to proceed with negotiations with the Resolution 19-58. To refresh your memory, Related's proposal included a purchase price for Block 2 of \$3 million, a development of 336 rental apartment units in an eight-story building, and about 40,000 square feet of ground floor retail space. Parking for the proposed development would be accommodated through a new internal parking garage, and frontage surface parking off of Red Road. In addition to the 14,000 square foot retail shell space under the Police Headquarters, they proposed a lease term of 50 years, with a net income share for the space at 50/50 split, after all leasing costs are repaid. At this juncture, the parties have finalized the purchase and sale agreement for Block 2, which includes the terms and conditions for the retail shell space as well. During the negotiation process, staff work with Related to address comments and recommendations raised by the Commission at the January meeting, which have been incorporated into the purchase and sale agreement before you tonight. These included requests for City staff to conduct a new appraisal for Block 2 based on the proposed development. This was completed and resulted in a higher valuation of \$3.2 million. Related has agreed to increase their original purchase price offer by the additional \$200,000.00 to match the appraisal. To refresh the Commission's memory, the City repurchased the property in January 2018 from Rock Kim for \$1.5 million. The Commission also asked whether there was an opportunity to include workforce housing units in the project. Staff worked with Related to add 40 workforce housing units or ten percent of the new total 400-unit residential count. Concerning small businesses, the Commission was also interested in finding ways for small businesses to participate. We are pleased to report that a small local business participation plan requirement is included, with minimal participation goals of 15 percent for small businesses, and five percent for local businesses on the estimated \$110 million project, of which about \$80 million are on hard construction costs. Sorry. Related has also agreed to add a \$150,000.00 payment that would become due on a pro rata basis if they do not achieve the 15 percent certified small business requirement. This was included in the purchase and sale agreement draft that was transmitted to the Commission on Monday of this week. As for other key business terms and other conditions included the purchase and sale agreement, the intended uses are now, again, at 400 rental apartment units within the eight-story building, at -- with between 27,500 and 32,500 square feet of ground floor retail. The additional units will help existing and future Town Center retailers, which have experienced challenges over the years, to stabilize and can certainly benefit from the additional foot traffic and activity that the roughly 800 to 1,000 residents, new residents will -- that will reside in -- the Block 2 project will generate. The structured parking garage continues to be a part of the project, which will be for about 850 spaces. At \$20,000.00 a space, the structured parking garage is estimated to cost more than \$13 million to build. For some context, a project of comparable size with surface parking similar to what we are seeing developed in other areas of the City would require between 20 to 25 acres to develop, instead of the 3.742 acres available in Block 2. When the City conducted a Town Center retail assessment back in 2016, one of the key items identified that would greatly help existing and future retailers was a more direct vehicular access point from Red Road. Since then, the City has been working with the Florida Department of Transportation and Broward County, which have approved the permit to allow a southbound left in turn lane from Red Road into Civic Center Place. As part of this deal, Related is responsible for

the construction of the Red Road turn lane at an estimated cost of about \$300,000.00. The agreement also includes a repurchase right that allows the City to purchase back the property at the original purchase price if vertical construction has not commenced within 18 months of closing. We witnessed the benefit of having a repurchase right in the Rock Kim deal, and it will help ensure that this project also proceeds in a timely manner. A payment and performance bond is also in place to ensure that the project will be completed once actually -- construction actually is initiated. As for permit and impact fees, Related is responsible for the payment of \$3 million towards development and engineering review, building permitting, and parks impact fees. Consistent with the original Town Center development agreement, other impact fees for the project will be waived. The waived fees are paid back, essentially, in four years through ad valorem taxes and fire assessments. For comparison, the prior Block 2 master plan called for about 40,000 square feet of office, and 40,000 square feet of retail, which would have yielded about \$1.5 million in collected fees. So, essentially, the fees here are going to be double. Finally, Related will be allowed to occupy up to 1,500 square feet of temporary space within the City's 14,000 square foot shell during Block 2's construction, which they will build out and operate at their own cost, and will pay rent to the City under a 50/50 share formula. Now to walk you through the site plan layout, this shows the ground level, which has the bulk of the retail space on the northern and southern sides, flanking both City Hall promenade and Red Road. The residential lobby entrance and drop off phase just off to -- the public plaza, which then -- then leads into the outdoor pool area and other residential amenities. The structured parking is located in the middle of the block, and mostly wraps around the building, similar to what we see in other existing blocks at Town Center. There are also about 70 parking spaces that are towards the Red Road side. This layout of the upper floors shows the residential units, mainly with street views, as well as internal courtyard units that would overlook the plaza. Here is a rendering view of the project, and to orient you, the view is looking towards City Hall, roughly from where Dunkin' Donuts is located. The Police Headquarters would be on the right. You will notice the building design is consistent with the surrounding architectural vernacular and scale. Towards the front of the building, you see the proposed public plaza and covered residential drop off area is towards the left. Another component of the agreement that staff has negotiated is the 14,000 square foot retail shell space under the Police Headquarters. We heard from the -- from the dais in January as well that it was important to have City input on businesses that occupied the City-owned shell space. Over the last day or so, staff continued the dialog with Related, and the 14,000 square foot lease has been restructured slightly from what is -- was -- from what was in your packet to include an opportunity for the City to hire a leasing broker to directly lease the shell space. Being that what occurs on the other side of the Block 2 project is of significance to Related, since they want to ensure that the space is occupied with tenants that activate and energize the area, and that they are compatible with the retail on the other side, the agreement includes an option for Related to take over the space if the City has not leased at least 50 percent of the space within 18 months, or 75 percent within 24 months of execution of this agreement. Should we proceed with the 14,000 square foot shell lease with related, the terms would be: continue with a 50-year term lease, as proposed in the original agreement; they would be responsible for the construction of their interior build

out cost and any improvements, which would be repaid from lease income, and amortize over a ten-year period at a rate of four percent. The lease net income would be shared by the City and Related at a 50/50 split. It's important to note that the City would not need to come out of pocket for any expenses, since they are paid through lease income. Related would also be responsible for the management cost and the leasing activity for tenants. Towards the Commission's concerns over City input with the shell space, should the City enter into this phase, it would have approval rights on all leases in the shell space. In addition, there would be a right of first offer, meaning that any space that becomes available for -- for -- for lease would first be offered to the City or for a tenant that the City identifies. Also, a more favored nation clause would be included. Therefore, the City or its identified tenant would be the most favorable rent rate available. In addition to the direct financial benefits, the City's consultant, Lambert Advisory conducted an economic benefit and fiscal impact assessment for the proposed project, based on the \$110 million estimated development cost. The analysis indicates that the short-term economic impact for the project's construction would generate about 650 jobs over the two-year build period, \$34 million in wages, and \$3 million in permitting and impact fees. In the long-term, the project would generate about 175 jobs, and 148 indirect or induced jobs for a total of 323 jobs for the local economy on a recurring basis. Additionally, \$1.9 million will be generated in overall ad valorem taxes, and \$1 million in sales taxes on an annual basis. For the City, and estimated \$850,000.00 in incremental annual property taxes, fire and fire assessment fees will be generated, which represents a net present value of about \$11.5 million over a 20-year period. In closing, staff finds that Related is a leading, reputable developer with the capability and financial wherewithal to carry out the project. The City has also contracted with SM -- SCM Consulting, and CMA Enterprise Incorporated to conduct independent peer reviews of the agreement, which they expressed the agreements were negotiated fairly for both parties. It's also important to note that, as the last remaining block at Town Center, Block 2 will really culminate the Town Center vision and will serve as the final piece that will help connect and activate all of the uses on the site, and allow Town Center to really achieve its full potential. From a broader perspective, this catalyzing project will also set the tone for the remaining vacant properties surrounding Town Center, such as the undeveloped 90 acres to the south of us, which we kind of coined as the AWE District, as well as other redevelopment areas, like Historic Miramar, where increased densities and more urban-scale level of developments are being considered. And that -- and City Manager recommends approval, and that concludes our presentation, and we're available to answer any questions that you may have, as Related's team is here available, should you want to hear from them or have any questions of them. Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you so much, Mr. Vazquez. Are there any members from the public that wish to comment on this item? Any members from the public that wish to comment on this item? Seeing none, back to the dais. Are there any comments or questions for staff or the applicant from the Commission? I see one speaker; Commissioner Barnes, you are recognized.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Just some observations. First of all, my commendation to staff for coming to what appears to be a realization of some of the City's hopes, plans and, if I may add, dreams. I also want to welcome the developer for making this commitment to this project. It's been a while in coming. We have done a lot of back and forth. Staff has been extremely skillful, I may say, at not only pushing ahead, but having the insight to have included a provision where we could have bought the property back in the first place to make this a possibility. And I'm further impressed by the recent work that this developer has done in other municipalities and, as I told you guys in -- in my meeting, I'm a little relieved that we're making this really giant step, and I -- one -- one of the components of the agreement that I'm most impressed with is the decision to share the existing space that is not being used, the retail space that is not being used. Offering it to Related initially, and our -- our seeking to -- to fill out the space initially with that window, and if that does not take place, Related would -- would -- would get us involved -- would get themselves involved, and -- and help to fill out that space. And there -- there is a very practical and economic reason for it. Any development, any situation like this going forward, you do not want an empty, unused, unoccupied, inactive component to -- to a development like this, so I think that additional clause, that additional component to the agreement indicates a commitment to this situation that is not exactly usual. And there is no agreement that is perfect, but if one comes close to being perfect, this might just be it. I thank you both sides.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you, Commissioner Barnes. Any other comments. May I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Motion to approve.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: I just want to be clear of what we're approving. So there was a -- the option -- the first option, because there was a second one that you mentioned?

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah. So -- so to clarify, what we're approving tonight is the sale of Block 2, and that's going to happen as -- as the original agreement intended. The only tweak that we've made is in reference to the 14,000 square foot shell retail space that we own. Essentially, we are going to make an attempt, as a city, to try to lease that space out ourselves and, essentially, we have a timeframe that we've allotted to that, so if in 18 to 24 months we don't achieve the either 50 percent or 75 percent, respective, occupancy loads or thresholds, if you will, then Related has the option to go ahead and take on the space and -- and -- and go ahead and lease it. We'll enter into the terms that you see there. So that's the real twist in what you saw prior.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: All right. Perfect. And, basically, this particular project, once it gets approved tonight, would start almost immediately and then have what kind of timeframe?

MR. VAZQUEZ: Sure. As far as the timing is concerned, today we approve the purchase and sale agreement. We then move forward with a handful of conditions that need to be satisfied. The one that'll probably take the longest is a land use plan amendment that is

already ongoing -- is already in progress. We expect that to be back from the State and the County sometime either later this year or early next. Once that happens, then we can probably proceed to -- to closing rather quickly, because in the interim, we're going to go ahead and -- and address all the other closing contingencies that are -- are in place or that we need to satisfy. So, realistically, I would see the project close sometime early next year. After that, Related will have to, obviously, do their final permitting process, which may take six months. We're going to help them and move them through the process as quickly as we can, so, conceivably, there can start being some kind of a shovel in -- in the ground towards the middle or end of next year.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So --

MR. VAZQUEZ: And, as far as construction, I want to say that's probably a 14 to 18-month construction project -- 18 to 24-month construction project once we get shovels in the ground.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. So that, pretty much, coincides with the time that it gives us to try to get that -- the area underneath the Police Department leased out?

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes, Vice Mayor.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: And then -- so we would have that project finished, and if we don't do what we need to do, then they would seek to get tenants for both sides, their project, plus the one --

MR. VAZQUEZ: That is correct.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. That makes sense.

MR. VAZQUEZ: That two-year grace period gives us a shot at doing it, but if not, it gives them enough time to really immobilize quickly, so that by the time they get things open, hopefully, they have some tenants in there.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Are there any other comments?

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Question.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Thank you. On the 18 months, can I see that slide again? And my question was --

MR. VAZQUEZ: Towards the end. If you bring it up, I'll share with you --

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Okay. That's okay. I can go on. On the 18 months, what is the -- how realistic do you think it is for -- for the City to be able to -- to achieve that?

MR. VAZQUEZ: One thing I would recommend, Commissioner, is that we go ahead and get a leasing broker, somebody that has the -- the expertise to handle this kind of work. Eighteen months is a fair period of time, and what we are talking about are executed leases, we're not talking about folks actually being in there. So it's -- it's a fair amount of time to lease out 50 percent of the space. Again, we're not looking to have it all build out. That'll certainly take a lot longer, but as far as executed leases, I'm of the opinion that should be a fair amount of time for the City to -- to -- to make an earnest attempt.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Even though we haven't done it in this length of time?

MR. VAZQUEZ: That is correct, because we've never really been proactive or very active in that. We've been extremely passive over the last three years. If we get the right professionals to help us with this process, which as soon as we get approvals today, I'm sure we're going to be moving very quickly with procurement to go ahead and identify, you know, an RFP process, whatever it is, to go ahead and identify a broker that can help us with that process.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Okay. And if we don't get it done in 18 or 24 months, then Related gets it for 50 years?

MR. VAZQUEZ: Related has the option, yes, to -- to go ahead and lease it under the terms, yes.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Fifty years?

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: I would have liked to seen a rendering of what it would look like, what the building would like facing this section, facing -- excuse me -- facing, I guess, the -- the north side.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Facing our Cultural Arts Center, facing the Civic Center to just kind of get an idea of what -- what -- what this -- this vibe would look like here.

MR. VAQZUEZ: Yeah. And -- and bear in mind what we're approving today is really the conceptual site plan and conceptual renderings. This still needs to go through our development review process and our Community Appearance Board process, so there's

a lot of details that still need to be solidified, and -- and, you know, penciled out, if you will.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Okay. It -- this looks very nice, what was presented here today. I do -- I do want to make sure that, you know, our Cultural Arts Center is -- is include in -- in the entire -- at least the -- the thought, knowing that the Cultural Arts Center is here, is included in what we're doing. Because there's people, so we would make it more walkable for people to go back and forth. I want to know that folks from our Cultural Arts Center, you know, when they come to visit our Cultural Arts Center, that they will be able to walk down, and -- and go to restaurants or -- or other businesses there, as you may have.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Okay. Okay.

MAYOR MESSAM: Let the record reflect, we have Commissioner Chambers, sounds like he's called in. Are you there, Commissioner Chambers?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes, Mr. Mayor, I'm here.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. So we just wanted the public to know that you are on the line. All right. Just a -- just a couple of observations. I'll like to thank the developer with working with the City. One in particular in terms of the affordable housing units, as well as addressing one of my concerns, in terms of small business participation, and ensuring that the actual goals that were committed to were enforceable. Unlike the City, when we have a typical construction project, that we are actually making the payments for, we have tools and mechanisms to hold the contractor accountable, in terms of holding a retainage, ensuring contractors are paid. So in this situation, where they are buying the site and actually are funding their own construction, we really don't have much skin in the game, in terms of dollars that we can withhold from the developer. So after discussing with the developer this concern, they've proposed back to -- to put \$150,000.00 to make that available, so that they can hold their contractor, as well as themselves accountable to ensure that -- that they actually meet the goal. So I'd just like to thank the developers for coming back with that. And, also, at the time, the Commission at the time back in 2016, I recall us having a workshop, and I raised the concern regarding Block 2, and -- because we're -- the prior developer's lethargic efforts in developing the project. I'd just like to thank the Commission at the time for -- for us moving forward to exercise our right to purchase back Block 2, and to -- because that's what's making this possible, where we are right now, and we were able to cap the value of the property based on property values at that time. And, as you can see, with the 3.2 million purchase that we've more than doubled our acquisition cost of when we purchased the property back, so that's definitely a benefit for the public. So I think this is the start of a -- what -- what is going to be a beautiful project that I think, and I look forward to seeing the -- the other additional elevations from all sides, as well as actually having units in there. Where someone

literally will be in a position that they're working at the Cultural Center, and perhaps can afford a unit right across the street and won't even have to drive to go to work, they can just walk to work from their unit. So it's a great -- great concept, great idea. Thank so much. And if there are no other comments, I'll take a motion.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Mayor

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes. Commissioner Chambers, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Is this agenda item number seven?

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Um, I have one, um...question in regards to the 14,000 square foot space. Are we separating that from the -- the package deal?

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes. You probably --

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: I believe that the City should retain --

MAYOR MESSAM: Yeah. You probably did not hear that provision. That provision has been modified where the City has up to 24 months where we control the leasing of that space. And if the City is unsuccessful in finding tenants, at least up to 50 percent of that space to be leased out, then Related will step in and provide assistance in finding tenants for the 14,000 square feet. So, to answer your question, yes, it has been modified, and the City has the control of leasing the space for the first 24 months.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Great, great. And, if I may, Mr. Mayor, I just want to say I met with the applicant, and I'm very pleased with Block 2, what we are -- what they're going to do with that development. So I'm going to cut my conversation now because I hear some feedback, and then we move towards a motion.

MAYOR MESSAM: All right, thanks, Commissioner Chambers. Let's start that motion. I think someone made the motion.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Commissioner Colbourne, seconded by Commissioner Barnes, to approve Resolution #R6971, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Chambers	Yes
Commissioner Colbourne	Yes
Vice Mayor Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Resolution No. 19-133

MAYOR MESSAM: The next two items will be under one presentation, so I'll call items eight and nine, so the Attorneys can run through the titles. Thanks everyone.

8. Temp. Reso. #R6979 relating to the provision of **fire protection services, facilities and programs** in the City of Miramar, Florida; establishing the estimated assessment rate for fire protection assessments for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2019; directing the preparation of an assessment roll; authorizing a public hearing and directing the provision of notice thereof. (*Management & Budget Director Norm Mason*)

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: Item number eight is a resolution of the City Commission of the City of Miramar, Florida, relating to the provision of fire protection services, facilities and programs in the City of Miramar, Florida; establishing the estimated assessment rate for fire protection assessments for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2019; directing the preparation of an assessment roll; authorizing a public hearing and directing the provision of notice thereof, and providing for an effective date. Item number nine is a

resolution of the City Commission of the City of Miramar, Florida, establishing a proposed millage rate for Tax Year 2019 (Fiscal Year 2020); providing for the rolled-back rate; providing for the date, time, and place of the public hearing to consider the proposed millage rate and tentative budget; providing for advertisement and for transmittal to the Property Appraiser and Tax Collector, and providing for an effective date.

MAYOR MESSAM: Good evening, sir.

MR. MASON. Good evening. Norm Mason, Management & Budget. This is the -- as was just read, the millage rate and fire fee assessment presentation for those two items. This is the proposal to maintain the maximum millage rate at 7.1172; that was adopted for the 2019 budget. In addition, part of the item is to propose to maintain a 100 percent cost recovery of the fire protection, and you see the residential, commercial, industrial, warehouse, mobile home rates per unit, and the institutional. For background information, I'm providing you the evaluation. In the second column, you'll see by year for the last ten years, the trend -- I can predict, but it's 8765 for the last four years, so I can tell you what the next year is predicted to be. The millage rate, 7.1172, the rolled back, for information, is 6.7899 to generate the same revenue, just 4.82 percent difference. The fire assessment; again, the study was done in 2018; a second study has not been done since then, so we're proposing to continue that rate of 398.23, and that fully funds the fire protection assessment at 100 percent cost recovery, based on that study. Hardship exemptions are available to the residents at this point. The application period began on May 1st, so I encourage them to make those applications through July 31st is the deadline. Last year, 76 properties qualified for the exemption in the tax year 2018. As I always disclose, the property tax example here, you see on the left, Save Our Homes value is at 219,000 was the average home from the appraiser at that point when they divided the number of properties into the total valuation. In 2020, it increased \$5,116.00. You see the exemptions of 50,000 to reduce that in the second line, so the taxable value is 174430 for 2020. You see the taxes would go up based on that valuation of \$36.00 for the year, nine cents per day, in terms of the valuation, which is the same millage rate of 7.1172. The commercial tax bill, you see the change for the average 637,439 is the number for FY20. Same size 15,126 square feet, so the fire assessment remains the same. So, in that case, the total tax bill, based on the valuation growth, would be \$243.00 higher than 2019. Low income senior exemptions on the bottom are available for age and income requirements as well, up to an additional 50,000 to meet those. There's also a long-term residency senior exemption available based on the following conditions, as you see there, which can provide up to full exemption of your City taxes. And the City Manager and myself are proposing the millage rate maximum at this point and the fire fee assessment to remain the same. Approval is recommended.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you, Mr. Mason. Are there any members from the public that wish to comment on this item? Any members from the public that wish to comment on this item? Seeing none, back to the dais. Are there any comments for staff on this item? Which is for fire protection services, item number eight.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Oh, it's just for one?

MAYOR MESSAM: We're going to take the votes for -- well, I guess we can have a discussion overall, but we'll have to vote separately for the fire assessment for item number eight, and then for the millage rate on -- for item number nine. So you can -- all right, so I have two speakers --

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Just a comment.

MAYOR MESSAM: All right. Okay. Vice Mayor Davis and Commissioner Barnes.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: No, I just -- I'm very happy with the result that we will not increasing the millage rate. You know, we've increased it before, and I think it gives us an opportunity with the house prices and the housing market as it is, that we can, you know, operate within -- within our means with the ad valorem that we actually are getting. And also with the fire service fee at 100 percent, I think that's good, that we, again, don't have to assess anything further on our residents at this time, so it gives an opportunity to recoup. Already, we have some of the highest rental rates here in the City. Folks can barely, you know, make ends meet. Purchasing a home is almost out of their reach at this point, so the less we burden folk, the better, and I think this is a good move by the City Manager and his team to work with what we have, and to not look to increase our millage rate. Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Barnes, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Just a response from Mr. Mason, please, if you would, sir. I know this will come up again, but explain for our residents why, with no increase in the millage rate, we're still expected to increase our intake, if you would, because of the amendments, the adjustments in property values.

MR. MASON: Yes, sir. Glad to do that. If you go back to that one slide, we can show the percent increase. So the valuations from the Property Appraiser, as they assess each of those valuations was an increase of 5.5 percent for 2019 tax year. The previous year was 6.2 percent. So it still increased at 5.5, so that caused the average value of the home to go up. Keeping the millage rate exactly the same, when you multiply that times the increase taxable value that caused in that example the increase of \$36.00. And you can see the 5.5 there. As I said, if I was predicting, it would be around four percent next year based on our trend, so it is coming down, and that's what it is. But, as you correctly said, because that component is changing, so our valuation has gone up, there were 26 cities that had a valuation increase higher than 5.5. Three were ten cities in Broward County that had lower than 5.5. So we were 21st in that comparison, but because of the valuation increase in all but two, there was an increase in the taxes, even if the millage rate stays the same.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you. Just some brief comments. I think we should take an opportunity to, just over the years, to really put our budget process in perspective. When

you think back, and looking at that chart, since 2011, the City of Miramar has only adjusted its millage rate twice, going from 6.4 to 6.7, and then making a very tough decision last budget process to go to 7.1. But, if you think about it, we've only adjusted or raised the millage rate twice since 2011. And the City has rebounded fairly well since the great recession. And think about all the capital improvements that have taken place in our city during that same duration. Our residents can tangibly see, feel, and even complain about the progress, in terms of our infrastructure that is taking place. So we really have, as a city, something to appreciate and to be proud of, because our residents can actually see progress and development taking place in our City. Obviously, we still have challenges, in terms of affordability in ensuring that the City of Miramar has a diversity of housing stock for -- also show economic statuses in our community, and we still struggle with that. So we continue to have more work to do. But, comprehensively, it's something that -- that our residents, our City staff, and this Commission can look back and say that -- that we are on a positive trend. And finally, when you look at the property values in the City of Miramar, if you go back to many of the State of the City addresses that I give every year, last year the City of Miramar averaged eight percent property value appreciation over the last four years. So to average eight percent in property value increases, and to then follow that up with five percent projections for this upcoming fiscal year, that just states that Miramar remains a desirable place that people want to live in, to choose to live, and raise their families in our -- in our dear city. So just -- I just wanted to bring that perspective to the dais. All right, so if there are -- Commissioner Colbourne, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yeah. I just want to echo what was said; certainly, I'm proud of our city and very proud of staff, and the thought that they have given this, because I know there's still a lot of things that -- that they would like that's unfunded. But for them to make the decision that, yes, we're not increasing the millage rate. It is certainly appreciated. I know it's -- I know it's tough for them as well, but we always consider our residents, so thank you for that.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Chambers, before we go for the vote, would you -- you have any comments?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes, Mr. Mayor, thank you. I just want to say, just echo the same comments as Commissioner Colbourne and you, Mr. Mayor, had said, and Vice Mayor. We have made significant progress and I'm very proud of the accomplishment over the last few years that we have made and our City have come--has come a long way, and the future is very bright for the City of Miramar and for our residents and businesses, so I'm very pleased. Thank you so much, Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you Mr. Commissioner. If there are no other comments, I'll take a motion for item number eight, for the fire protection services, and facilities and programs.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Motion to approve.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Second.
MAYOR MESSAM: Record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Commissioner Colbourne, seconded by Vice Mayor Davis, to approve Resolution #R6979, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Chambers	Yes
Commissioner Colbourne	Yes
Vice Mayor Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Resolution No. 19-134

9. Temp. Reso. #R6981 establishing a **proposed millage rate for Tax Year 2019 (Fiscal Year 2020)**; providing for the rolled-back rate; providing for the date, time, and place of the public hearing to consider the proposed millage rate and tentative budget; providing for advertisement and for transmittal to the Property Appraiser and Tax Collector. (*Management & Budget Director Norm Mason*)

MAYOR MESSAM: And may I have a motion for item number nine, the proposed millage rate for Tax Year 2019, Fiscal Year 2020.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Vice Mayor Davis, seconded by Commissioner Colbourne, to approve Resolution #R6981, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Chambers	Yes
Commissioner Colbourne	Yes
Vice Mayor Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Resolution No. 135

MAYOR MESSAM: Item number ten, please.

- 10.** Temp. Reso. #R6982 relating to the provision of **Stormwater Management Services** in the City of Miramar, Florida; establishing the preliminary stormwater assessment rate for Stormwater Management Services for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2019; directing the preparation of an assessment roll; authorizing a public hearing and directing the provision of notice hereof. (*Interim Public Works Director Anthony Collins*)

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: Item number ten is a resolution of the City Commission of the City of Miramar, Florida, relating to the provision of Stormwater Management Services in

the City of Miramar, Florida; establishing the preliminary stormwater assessment rate for Stormwater Management Services for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2019; directing the preparation of an assessment roll; authorizing a public hearing and directing the provision of notice hereof, and providing for an effective date.

MR. COLLINS: Good evening, Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR MESSAM: Good evening, Mr. Collins.

MR. COLLINS: Vice Mayor, City Commissioners, City Manager, City Attorney, Anthony Collins, Interim Public Works Director. City Commission, on July 6, 2016, approve a rate study by Resolution No. 16-163. The study was performed by public resource management group. Reauthorization required to place stormwater fee on the tax roll. City Commission approval is required for annual reauthorization of stormwater assessments, establishing preliminary rates, establishing dates and time for public meeting for final approval of annual assessment rate for Fiscal Year 2020. This is not a tax, it's a user fee. Just to give a little background. The purpose of the Stormwater Management System is to regulate, maintain, and manage storm water runoff within the City. The City's storm water system was established as an enterprise fund in FY 1998. It provides funding for storm water system operations, maintenance, construction, debt service and administration. In FY 2015, the City commenced collecting storm water fees as non-ad valorem taxes, maintained the ERU building methods, improve collection of fees. 2016 rate study determined assessment rate be increased every three years to fund operational needs, and capital improvement projects. Existing and proposed annual rates; this chart provides an indication as to how the fees were increased over time. Fiscal Year 202 impact; current assessment rates \$84.00 per ERU. Proposed assessment rate \$102.00 per ERU. Proposed increase of \$18.99 per ERU. Projected stormwater management service revenue for FY '20, \$5, 497,147.00. Next slides provides an illustration of some of the projects that are funded through the storm water fee. On the left we have the Canal Embankment Restoration Project. The slide to the center is a map that indicates the phasing of the drainage project. And the picture to the extreme right, shows a picture of a drainage structure that is being installed under the Phase III drainage improvement project. Notice of public hearing, published by August 21st, 2019. Hearing scheduled for September 12, 2019, at 6:00 p.m. at the Commission Chamber. Approval recommended.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you, Mr. Collins. Are there any members from the public that wish to comment on this item?

MS. SCIALABBA: Thank you. Debra Scialabba, 6490 SW 26 Street. I paid my water bill, utility bill today, and residents are still very upset about the increase in utility bills. This is -- this was people that were talk about -- four different people were talking about they don't understand why their water bill is going up. Now this \$102.00, we first had this charge on the stormwater -- on our utility bill, then it's \$84.00, and now it's going up to \$102.00. So I just wanted to make a comment. People are -- residents are still very upset

about their utility bill, they're upset about the late fees also, and they don't understand what's going on. I forget what year I had -- the standard billing was \$59.00, I believe, and I think now it's \$79.00 for the standard; I have to check and see. But I just wanted to make a comment about that. And with the stormwater, when they -- when they see that, a lot of people don't look at their TRIM line, but when they -- when they see that, they're -- they're going to -- just be wondering why that's going up as well. Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you. Are there any other members from the public that wish to comment on this item? Any other members from the public? Seeing none, back to the dais. I have two speakers, Vice Mayor Davis, followed by Commissioner Barnes.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Just a question on the increase. I know you mentioned that it -- it was supposed to increase every three years; that was what was approved by a Commission?

MR. COLLINS: Yes, Vice Mayor.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. And did they say how much it should increase by?

MR. COLLINS: If we go back to that table. So for 2020, the proposed increase is \$18.00 per ERU, --

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: All right.

MR. COLLINS: -- and there won't be any other increase until 2023. There will be another \$18.00.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So this chart itself, how did we arrive at the amount that it should be increased to?

MR. COLLINS: This was from a study that was done, a rate study was done in 2016.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: The 2016, that's what the study proposed that we raise it?

MR. COLLINS: Right.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: About -- when you say it's \$18.00 was for the year, it's what's going to be on their --

MR. COLLINS: That's right, Vice Mayor.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. And then there'll be no further increase for three years, and then it goes to 15, and then what happens after that? it still goes up every --

MR. COLLINS: That's the -- that's the end of it. 2026 takes it to 2026.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: And then you have to do a new study?

MR. COLLINS: Well, that would be determined at that point, yes.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. The other issue with the stormwater, I want to ensure that folks -- you always hear folks complaining about the -- the flooding, and that they're paying for stormwater, and they don't see -- first of all, they don't see a storm drain. And then there's still flooding. So how are we using the funds to prevent, for example, off of -- off of Island Drive, for example? The flooding that occurs during -- during hurricane season, even in the Knolls, where they have -- apparently, the issue there is that, because of all the resurfacing, that now there's not a normal gradient for the flow. So the money that is going to the stormwater, how is it being used to alleviate those problems?

MR. COLLINS: If you could go back to that slide that has the phasing map. There are a number of projects that are planned. Currently, we're working on a Phase III that would certainly alleviate the flooding problems in a certain section of the City. And then a future project, we have a Phase IV project section, and then you have Phase V and Phase VI. Right now, Phase IV is in the design stage. The funding that is received from this assessment is utilized in the development of these projects to alleviate flooding and other drainage concern within those areas.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So is the area off of Island Drive --

MR. COLLINS: Yes, that's part of it. If you look --

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: What phase is that? Are we working on that currently?

MR. COLLINS: No, Phase III -- that would have been further down in Phase V, future phase.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So when will that phase be?

MR. COLLINS: Well, right now we're in the Phase IV, we're in the design stage. That would take probably another year, two years. Once we get through that, then we go to Phase V, which probably we're looking at maybe about three years out, because the construction takes time. And it has to be done in an organized way, because we don't want to have the entire area all under construction at the same time. And then each time you have to go out, you have to apply for a loan, and you have to ensure that you have the funding in place to -- to deal with the construction.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So, in the meantime, for the next three years, they're going to experience that extreme flooding?

MR. COLLINS: Well, where there is extreme flooding, then, certainly, we will look to see whatever maintenance activity that can take place in order to alleviate whatever situation that might exist. We'll certainly be looking at that. But in terms of an overall development, I mean it has to be done in phased structure.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: And how do we decide to put that in Phase IV rather than Phase III?

MR. COLLINS: And, again, that was based on the study that was done in 2016. It was on a recommendation that came from the study.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: To start in certain areas first?

MR. COLLINS: Certain areas, certainly.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. Well, thanks for that explanation. I'm sure it will help some of our residents to have some kind of idea as to where the money is going, because, yes, some areas have to be started before others. But I would hope that, at some point, we let residents know, for example, in that area, the homeowners' group down there, and -- and folks to know that, listen, your time is coming. We understand you have flooding, which is really bad, and you're going to have to put up with it, maybe, for another few years, and we'll try to help with some alleviation with that. But to know that their money is actually going to be working for them, everybody wants to know the timelines that they are going to see the fruits of their money put to work in stormwater. All right. But thank you for that. And as far as the Knolls area, is there any hope for those folks over there that have -- pretty much, there's no more gradient for the water runoff over there, and there's no drainage, there's no storm drain.

MR. COLLINS: Like I said, the Comprehensive Plans call for Phase VI, but in the interim, if there are isolated issues that could be addressed through maintenance effort, then certainly we'll be looking at those until we get to that phase.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So that's part of a phase?

MR. COLLINS: Yes.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Over the Knolls?

MR. COLLINS: Yes, Phase VI.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Perfect. Thank you so much. Appreciate that.

MR. COLLINS: You're welcome, Vice Mayor.

MAYOR MESSAM: I don't think that's a part -- I think it stops at University, so that would be a future phase, correct? Yeah, the Knolls is -- is -- is --

MR. COLLINS: Yeah, on that red line.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yeah.

MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry about that.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay. So the Knolls is west of University. You don't have any plans there yet?

MR. COLLINS: We stop at Phase VI. I apologize for that.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Okay.

MAYOR MESSAM: That would be the next study.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: The next study. They're not as critical. Go ahead.

MR. GORDON: Whittingham Gordon, Assistant City Manager for Operations. Vice Mayor, so you know, the Knolls does have drainage. The issue with the Knolls is the swale, because of the older communities, a lot of those swales are filled in, and you end up having drainage issue between the driveways in -- in the Knoll area.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Right.

MR. GORDON: But there's no gradient problem with the roads itself. Every time we went out to do -- every time we go out to do resurfacing, we mill the road, so we don't -- it's not like we're adding more asphalt to the road.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Oh, really?

MR. GORDON: We mill the road, and bring back the same level of asphalt, so we're not changing the elevation, none of the roads that we do out there to do any kind of resurfacing, so there's -- I just want to be clear of that.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So what you say is causing the problem over there?

MR. GORDON: The only issue that we have in the Knolls area that I could say, because I have a residence in that area, the swale --

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: You Know....

MR. GORDON: The swale is -- is -- is an issue with the resident for all these years who have built up their swale. They did not maintain the proper slope for the -- in front of their --

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Oh, so it's the residents fault. Yes, I'll remember to blame them next time.

MR. GORDON: That has been a major problem in that area.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: All right. That's good to know though, because they tend to always blame it on the City.

MR. GORDON: Absolutely.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: So we have to make sure they understand where it's coming from.

MR. GORDON: Thank you.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Barnes, followed by Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Okay. So following that discussion, when a resident asks me: okay, I'm going to spend this additional tax, they prefer to call it, when will I see --

MAYOR MESSAM: Fee.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: -- improvements? Oh, they call it tax; don't worry. When do I see improvements? I've gotten something of an indication of when that will change. I'm suspecting, just listening to the exchange, that maybe, again, we have this need for something of an education program, like we've used our water bill to convey different information to our residents. Maybe -- maybe what is required here is that, as time goes by, we inform them: listen, we know you're paying this money already, but you're paying forward for the improvements that will come in your particular area. I know much of these notices have to be generic, because you want to give the -- the entire City the -- the information. But I think, going forward, it is going to be of extreme value for us to say: Residents, hey listen, we know you have the problem, and sometimes it proves dangerous. I am driving on the roadway, a driver apparently doesn't know the area at all simply goes into the water for maybe a couple of seconds, I can see nothing. So the inconvenience of having to deal with this water sitting on the roadway is also -- has the potential to be dangerous for us as -- as residents and users of -- of our thoroughfares. But, yes, I think we're going -- we don't have a choice, but to say to residents: listen, we know you're paying this money now, but these are the changes that are going to come. We have to tell them two years, but we should tell them as we go along.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Thank you. I was actually saving this for my comments for Mr. Gordon, but it's just -- it's appropriate to go ahead and bring it up here. The projects that are happening currently on the east side, some of it relates to the storm -- to stormwater as well. So I'm just going to go ahead and -- and say my piece now. I tried to drive through, I believe it's 66th Avenue, and the conditions that I found was -- were -- were very unacceptable. I am a -- I'm one of the individuals to -- that truly advocated for the -- for the project. I advocated for drainage in that area. I advocated for us to -- to move forward. I -- I voted for this fee, so that we can get this done. I understand that there will be inconvenience, but I think there are some things that we need to do to minimize the inconveniences. The potholes, especially during this rainy season, it's very, very bad. I am asking that you would ensure that we have someone inspect that area after the contract -- the -- the contractors leave on a daily basis to ensure that it's drivable. And if someone has to go by there and -- and fill -- fill the potholes, whatever conditions you -- that caused that on that particular day, that it's -- that it's repaired. It's -- what I saw definitely didn't get the attention it needed. And -- and to be honest, my heart really went out to the residents in that area. What can we do, because that's what I -- I want a daily fix on it. I want a daily inspection on it.

MR. GORDON: So you know, Commissioner, we -- we do have two inspectors that's on that job seven days a week, five -- six days a week. Unfortunately, I know you went out there early this week, and on the weekend we had a real heavy downpour of rain, and the condition deteriorate over that -- over the -- with the rain. Immediately following that, we met with the contractor, and we brought up the concerns, and they were in the process of expediting, bringing in structure material to repair those roads for -- to be more of a drivable surface. So we are on top of the contractor, and he is aware of the concern with the residents, and we will be -- pretty much, be targeting the areas that he is working in that is in bad -- in real bad conditions, because there are some areas in there, because of the soil condition, that it's very soft, sandy area.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Right.

MR. GORDON: And once it rains, it just get real deplorable real quick. So they're -- they're bringing in structure material out there, which is the, you know, lime rock to stabilize the area to make it more smooth driving for the residents in that area. So we -- we're aware, and we're on top of it.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Mr. Gordon, I appreciate the attention you're giving to this, and I really want to make sure that you understand that I truly want to know that we have some City eyes on this project on a daily basis, two sets on a rainy day.

MR. GORDON: Yes, ma'am. That's --

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: I mean we -- we do have to have more efforts. This is the rainy season, and that is going to happen. So you may pour that stuff on the road and, you know, the following day it's gone. I really appreciate that -- that we give these residents some relief.

MR. GORDON: And we will be making sure that the contractor follow --

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Thank you very much. I appreciate that.

MR. GORDON: Thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you, Commissioner. Couple of observations. Back in 2015, we removed stormwater off of the utility bill. I remember when first coming on this Commission, one of the comments was why is Miramar's utility bill so high compared to Miami-Dade County. And many residents did not realize that -- that there was a difference in the fees that were actually included in the utility bill. We had water service, we had garbage, stormwater, and what else, the fourth one, and sewage -- and sewage. So by removing stormwater, it gave, at least for the utility bill portion, a lower -- a lower fee. I think Miami-Dade County only has water and sewer in their utility bill, and I think their storm and sanitation is on their -- I don't know if it's all on their tax bill or -- or it's billed in another -- another vehicle. So -- and, plus, that's a much larger utility that can spread the cost across the entire county, as opposed to us just being a municipal utility, so it's kind of apples to oranges. But with that step, at least with stormwater coming out, it did -- it provide some relief, in terms of the inclusion of the stormwater by taking that out. Also, in terms of the phasing of the -- of the stormwater projects that was on the screen, I remember back a few years ago when -- at the time the City Manager was the Assistant City Manager over Public Works and Utilities, and like all of us on the Commission, we were getting the calls about the flooding in the area and, obviously, the City did not have the money during the time; we were just coming out of the recession, to fix all of these issues. So I remember telling the -- the City Manager at the time that, at a minimum, the Commission needs to be able to let residents know we don't have the money, but at least we should be able to tell them how much it's going to cost, and a timetable when we expect to be able to get these projects done. And the Assistant City Manager came back with the phasing plan that you see right now, because I think, at the time, we were just completing Phase I, and then pending to Phase II. When -- I think it was in 2016, if the public will remember, there was a ballot question that asked -- it was a transportation tax and an infrastructure tax, and they both had to pass, and the projection stayed -- I think in Miramar, if the infrastructure -- if that -- if that would have passed -- I think transportation passed, but infrastructure did not, so the measure failed. I think in the first year alone, the City would have gotten, I think, about \$40 million I think was the number, if I remember correctly, I could be wrong, that would have been used towards projects like stormwater and other infrastructure projects throughout the City. So when that did not pass, we still were left with the infrastructure needs, but we just did not have the funding, so we as -- basically, we had to break the projects up, and take them as we're doing right now, which is a responsible way to go. So we can't wait on the County, we can't wait on the federal

government, we have to find a solution locally to solve these issues. So that's what you see with the phasing of the various projects. So I think with the rate study -- for clarification, if someone from staff can just talk about or just explain, briefly, what is the rate study, what does it evaluate or analyze, and how do we use it, in terms of guidance and decisions, so that the public can understand, and why we have this rate study, and how are we using it?

MR. COLLINS: The rate study provides information that allows for decisions to be made. It provides an analysis of existing challenges, as far as the infrastructure is concerned, it makes projection, and then, based on the need, or based on the demand, assessment of fees are done in order to be able to support the loan or to service the loan to take care of those challenges. So a consultant who's an expert in the area would have been hired by the City to make an assessment, do their research, and then come up with a document that makes the necessary recommendations.

MAYOR MESSAM: So it serves as guidance to be able to guide us professionally on how to address our -- our storm drainage needs, and how we can fiscally be able to support that -- the management of that process?

MR. COLLINS: That is correct, Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. So I just wanted the public to kind of understand what is the study, why it is needed, and if -- if it's diverted or if it's changed, and we have to -- just like an equation, you move one part of the equation, then it impacts other areas, whether it's delivery of the improved system, or the -- whether it extends it or shortens it, but it basically provides us the guidance. So I just wanted to have the public to understand that we are trying -- we're addressing these needs, we are mapping it out, and -- and -- and hopefully we can get some infrastructure money from the -- the State and Washington to help expedite these processes, so that we can leverage our resources together. So -- but thanks so much for the presentation.

MR. COLLINS: You're welcome.

MAYOR MESSAM: And if there are no other comments, then I'll take a motion. Oh, no, I'm sorry. I keep forgetting Commissioner Chambers, my apologies. You have to raise your hand so we can see it, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: I just want to say in regard to this project, it's a very difficult project and—um-- it's not your typical--um--underground work drainage. Um--we don't have the luxury of having a lake or a C9 canal that we can dump water into, so with what we have we have to work with that, and these residents probably wouldn't understand that we are trying to do or trying **(Unintelligible)** drains to accommodate the drainage in east Miramar and the way the project was laid out in phase was so that as we get each phase done, there was some---that we provide some relief to the other phase we have not gotten to, so I'm very pleased with the project, and it's not a perfect project,

but it still accomplish quite a bit so—and our residents will see some relief according to Commissioner Colbourne and some of our resident dealing with potholes and stuff like that, we need some improvement in that area, making sure that we—um—maintain the roadway so our residents does not get so much damage to their cars and um—I’m just asking that someone stay on top of the contractor to make sure they accommodate our residents and provide some relief for them. With that said, I am getting a lot of echo so Mayor when you’re ready for the motion, go ahead and call for the motion.

MAYOR MESSAM: All right. If there are no other comments, may I have a motion, please.
COMMISSIONER BARNES: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Commissioner Barnes, seconded by Commissioner Colbourne, to approve Resolution #R6982, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Chambers	Yes
Commissioner Colbourne	Yes
Vice Mayor Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Resolution No. 136

OTHER BUSINESS

Reports and Comments:

Commissioner Reports:

MAYOR MESSAM: Item number 11, please.

11. Temp. Reso. #R6988 **amending the City Commission Procedures** to ensure the requesting Commissioner's name is visibly printed on proclamations. (*Vice Mayor Alexandra P. Davis*)

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: Item number 11 is a resolution of the City Commission of the City of Miramar, Florida, amending the City Commission Procedures to ensure the requesting commissioner's name is visibly printed on proclamations, and providing for an effective date. And this was requested by Vice Mayor. Vice Mayor, did you want to address it?

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yeah. I -- has everyone seen the changes? Yes. Okay. So it's just a matter of approving?

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: Yes, it's just a matter of approving. I don't know if you want to explain what was there, or do you want me to explain it what was put in the resolution?

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yeah. You can explain what was requested.

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. All right. So at the last Commission meeting, the Vice Mayor requested that the form of the proclamations be amended, and there's actually a template that's attached to the resolution to show what it would like currently. So that the requesting commissioner or elected official's name is visibly printed at the top center in bold print, and in capitalize or uppercase letters, and then in the body itself, after the Mayor's name; it says: on behalf of the requesting commissioner, and that commissioner's name is in the same font and in bold and uppercase letters, similar to the way that the Mayor's name appears.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Thank you. I just think that fashion it a bit like the Broward County proclamation does a little bit more justice to the proclamations, because a lot of times folks ask a commissioner for a proclamation. Of course, we need the signature from the Mayor, as the ceremonial head, but I think it's important that when we're presenting or the Mayor is presenting the proclamation that it reflects who has actually asked for it, and actually prepared it for signature. So I think this is a good way for -- for the Commission to proceed when it comes to proclamations. And I -- I do hope my colleagues agree with me on that.

MAYOR MESSAM: Are there any members from the public that wish to comment on this item? Any members from the public? Seeing none, back to the dais. Are there any other comments? If none, I'll take a motion.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: No.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Chambers.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Vice Mayor Davis, seconded by Commissioner Colbourne, to approve Resolution #R6988, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	No
Commissioner Chambers	Yes
Commissioner Colbourne	Yes
Vice Mayor Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Resolution No. 137

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Barnes, you have a report? No report. Commissioner Chambers, do you have a report?

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Yes, Mr. Mayor—um-- I just want to say congratulations to Mr. Mayor and your --um-- award on Sunday night and thank you for those kind words that you spoke about me--thank you so much—always knew you've been a great person and I thank you and I support you. Um--also want to thank—um--my colleagues and I'd like to thank IT Department for working so hard to make this connection possible and um--I'm just looking forward to seeing all of you here, and---um---the 16th to the 20th, at the conference so, I'm going to hold it down until you guys get here. And I believe my staff have a video report that would conclude my—um--commission report. Thank you guys so much and I hope you stay safe and I hope to see you all soon.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thanks, Commissioner Chambers. And thanks for the nomination as well, and be safe out there.

COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS: Thank you. God bless your family.

MAYOR MESSAM: Commissioner Colbourne:

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: I echo --

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Oh, you want to respond to him?

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yeah. I was making comments. I echo what Commissioner Chambers said, at least the same thing he said. Congratulations to the Mayor on receiving the Caribbean Heritage Award. No other comments from me, thank you.

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you, Commissioner. Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Didn't he have his Commission report?

MAYOR MESSAM: What? There was another one?

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yeah, he had a report.

MAYOR MESSAM: Oh, okay. (Video shown). Yeah, I didn't see the cue for -- I thought that was the cue for Commissioner Colbourne's report, so -- all right, good. Good report. Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: I just also have a presentation, very short, that should be to -- to the point. what you're seeing there is the media launch, the initial one, for the Caribbean Heritage Month, Caribbean American Heritage Month in June. (Video shown) There is a video with that. (Video shown) And so, as we celebrate Caribbean Heritage Month, please, please, these events, at least the sprinters, totally free. You can sign up on Eventbrite; and for the Caribbean Village, we have discounted prices. Children under 12 are free, that's on the 23rd, and if you purchase your ticket and enter the location at the

Regional Park between 1:00 and 4:00, the tickets are just 28 for adults. So, once again, please call my office for more information, 954-602-3156. And we just want to congratulate the Reggae Girls, as they are in France, and their first game against Brazil, they didn't lose too badly, compared to the United States against Thailand. I think it was 14 to 0, or was it more. But at least we held our own against Brazil; it was 3-0. The goalkeeper did an extraordinary job, and she was featured on CNN representing Jamaica very well, and we're proud of our Reggae Girls, proud to have hosted them here, and we hope to see a lot more from them, as they call them the Cinderellas of this Women's World Cup. Thank you.

12. Temp. Reso. #R6962 approving an appointment to the Cultural ArtsPark Advisory Board. (Mayor Wayne M. Messam)

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you, Vice Mayor. Before I go into my announcements, it wasn't on the agenda, so I'm going to have to lean on the attorneys regarding the next topic. I do have an appointment for the Cultural Affairs Advisory Board, so I'll just state the name. I guess we have a motion to appoint. Is that appropriate, or do we have to have a formal resolution?

CITY ATTORNEY SMITH: Yes, that's fine, Mayor. We can have the resolution afterwards.

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. I'd like to appoint Lorraine Tracey to the Cultural Affairs Advisory Board, and provide the contact information for you. So -- so without objection, I'll take a motion.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Motion to approve.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Second.

MAYOR MESSAM: Record the votes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Barnes.

COMMISSIONER BARNES: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Commissioner Colbourne.

COMMISSIONER COLBOURNE: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Vice Mayor Davis.

VICE MAYOR DAVIS: Yes.

CITY CLERK GIBBS: Mayor Messam.

MAYOR MESSAM: Yes.

On a motion by Commissioner Colbourne, seconded by Vice Mayor Davis, to approve Resolution #R6962, the Commission voted:

Commissioner Barnes	Yes
Commissioner Colbourne	Yes
Vice Mayor Davis	Yes
Mayor Messam	Yes

Resolution No. 138

MAYOR MESSAM: Thank you all. Would like to announce the Independence Day Celebration, the Annual Independence Day Celebration that will take place on Thursday, July 4th at 7:00 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. at Regional Park. The City of Miramar, arguably, has one of the best fireworks shows in Broward County and, perhaps, South Florida. It's always a great time where we probably have anywhere from nine to 11 thousand people at Regional Park, so it's a great time for the family. So come on out, we'll have live DJ music, fireworks, kids zone and more, and look forward to seeing residents out at Regional Park as we celebrate our nation's independence. On Tuesday, July 16th, I'll host my annual Mayor Summer Aviation Camp. It will take place right here in the City of Miramar. You can register on Eventbrite at mayormessamsummeraviationcamp.eventbrite.com. You can contact my office at 954-602-3198. We'll have simulators, drones, pilots, and more. It's a great way to introduce your child to the wonderful career opportunities or hobbies of the aviation sector. And what I always tell everyone, do not waste for the last minute to register. It always, for lack of a better phrase, sell out or it's to capacity, so the earlier you register, you can guarantee your child's participation. July 16th at 9:00 a.m. My next Conversations with the Mayor will take place Wednesday, July 17th at La Carreta from 11:30 to 1:30, during the lunch hour where residents can come in and speak to myself and my office regarding any issues that you may have, or things that you want to see done or improved within the City of Miramar. And it will take place at La Carreta restaurant, just east of I-75 off of 148th and Miramar Parkway, and we'll see you at 11:30, Wednesday, July 17th at La Carreta, 14791 Miramar Parkway. And, finally, I'll be participating with the Circle of Fathers in partnership with the City of Miramar, and -- hosted by Tracy Martin, who was the father of Trayvon Martin. It's a great event. It's usually a great panel discussion. It talks about the social status of black men and boys in our community, the effects of an absent father, and five goals of fatherhood, so it's a great opportunity to come out to -- if you want to be a better father, if you're just interested in the issues dealing in the community, you definitely don't want to miss this -- Tracy is a -- is -- is -- has a dynamic program with their foundation, and ensuring that the legacy of Trayvon moves on and lives on through the empowerment and the betterment of the family structure, in this particular case, fathers. And you can register at circleoffathers.org, circleoffathers.org put on by the Trayvon Martin Foundation.

City Manager Reports:

MAYOR MESSAM: Okay. Mr. Manager, do you have a report? Okay.

City Attorney Reports:

MAYOR MESSAM: No reports from our attorneys.

FUTURE WORKSHOP

Date	Time	Subject	Location
07/10/19	5:00 p.m.	Body Worn Cameras for Police Officers	Commission Chambers
09/04/19	5:00 p.m.	Senior Advisory Board and Budget Review Board	Commission Chambers
TBD	TBD.	Fiscal Year 2020 Preliminary Budget	Commission Chambers
TBD	TBD.	Commission Retreat	Commission Chambers

ADJOURNMENT

MAYOR MESSAM: On that note, this meeting is adjourned.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:22 p.m.

Denise A. Gibbs, CMC
City Clerk
DG/cp